EIA REPORT:

Specialist ecological study on the potential impacts of the proposed Kleinbegin photovoltaic (PV) Solar Energy Facility, near Groblershoop, Northern Cape

Prepared by

Dr David Hoare
(Ph.D., Pr.Sci.Nat.)

David Hoare Consulting cc
41 Soetdoring Ave
Lynnwood Manor,
Pretoria

for

Savannah Environmental (Pty) Ltd
PO Box 148,
Sunninghill,
2197

on behalf of
Vanguard Solar (Pty) Ltd

13 October 2011

FINAL EIA REPORT:

David Hoare Consulting cc
Biodiversity Assessments, Vegetation Description / Mapping, Species Surveys

REGULATIONS GOVERNING THIS REPORT

This report has been prepared in terms the EIA Regulations promulgated under the *National Environmental Management Act* No. 107 of 1998 (NEMA) and is compliant with Regulation 543 Section 32 - Specialist reports and reports on specialized processes under the Act. Relevant clauses of the above regulation are quoted below and reflect the required information in the "Control sheet for specialist report" given above.

Regulation 32. (1): An applicant or the EAP managing an application may appoint a person who is independent to carry out a specialist study or specialized process.

Regulation 32. (2): A person referred to in subregulation (1) must comply with the requirements of regulation (17) i.e is independent

Regulation 33. (3): A specialist report or a report on a specialized process prepared in terms of these Regulations must contain:

(a) details of (i) the person who prepared the report, and
(ii) the expertise of that person to carry out the specialist study or specialized process;

(b) declaration that the person is independent in a form as may be specified by the competent authority;

(c) indication of the scope of, and the purpose for which, the report was prepared;

(d) description of the methodology adopted in preparing the report or carrying out the specialized process;

(e) description of any assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge;

(f) description of the findings and potential implications of such findings on the impact of the proposed activity, including identified alternatives, on the environment;

(g) recommendations in respect of any mitigation measures that should be considered by the applicant and the competent authority;

(h) description of any consultation process that was undertaken during the course of carrying out the study;

(i) summary and copies of any comments that were received during any consultation process;

(j) any other information requested by the competent authority.

Appointment of specialist

David Hoare of David Hoare Consulting cc was commissioned by Savannah Environmental (Pty) Ltd to provide specialist consulting services for the Environmental Impact Assessment for the proposed Kleinbegin photovoltaic solar energy facility near Groblershoop in the Northern Cape Province. The consulting services comprise an assessment of potential impacts on the flora, fauna, vegetation and ecology in the study area by the proposed project.

Details of specialist

Dr David Hoare
David Hoare Consulting cc
Postnet Suite no. 116
Private Bag X025
Lynnwood Ridge, 0040

Telephone: 012 804 2281

Cell: 083 284 5111
Fax: 086 550 2053
Email: dhoare@lantic.net

Summary of expertise

Dr David Hoare:

- Registered professional member of The South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions (Ecological Science, Botanical Science), registration number 400221/05.
- Founded David Hoare Consulting cc, an independent consultancy, in 2001.
- Ecological consultant since 1995.
- Conducted, or co-conducted, over 300 specialist ecological surveys as an ecological consultant.
- Published six technical scientific reports, 15 scientific conference presentations, seven book chapters and eight refereed scientific papers.
- Attended 15 national and international congresses & 5 expert workshops, lectured vegetation science / ecology at 2 universities and referee for 2 international journals.

Independence

David Hoare Consulting cc and its Directors have no connection with Vanguard Solar (Pty) Ltd. David Hoare Consulting cc is not a subsidiary, legally or financially, of the proponent. Remuneration for services by the proponent in relation to this project is not linked to approval by decision-making authorities responsible for authorising this proposed project and the consultancy has no interest in secondary or downstream developments as a result of the authorisation of this project. David Hoare is an independent consultant to Savannah Environmental (Pty) Ltd and has no business, financial, personal or other interest in the activity, application or appeal in respect of which he was appointed other than fair remuneration for work performed in connection with the activity, application or appeal. There are no circumstances that compromise the objectivity of this specialist performing such work. The percentage work received directly or indirectly from the proponent in the last twelve months is zero.

Scope and purpose of report

The scope and purpose of the report are reflected in the “Terms of reference” section of this report.

Conditions relating to this report

The findings, results, observations, conclusions and recommendations given in this report are based on the author's best scientific and professional knowledge as well as available information. David Hoare Consulting cc and its staff reserve the right to modify aspects of the report including the recommendations if and when new information may become available from ongoing research or further work in this field, or pertaining to this investigation.

This report must not be altered or added to without the prior written consent of the author. This also refers to electronic copies of this report which are supplied for the purposes of inclusion as part of other reports, including main reports. Similarly, any recommendations, statements or conclusions drawn from or based on this report must make reference to this report. If these form part of a main report relating to this investigation or report, this report must be included in its entirety as an appendix or separate section to the main report.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

Terms of reference and approach

Savannah Environmental (Pty) Ltd. was appointed by Vanguard Solar (Pty) Ltd to undertake an application for environmental authorisation through an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for the proposed “Kleinbegin PV Solar Energy Facility". The project involves the establishment of a renewable energy facility for power generation and its associated infrastructure, including an array of pv panels, underground cabling between pv panels, inverters, short length of power line to link into an existing Eskom powerline, administration building, workshop area for maintenance and storage and internal access roads. The purpose of the EIA is to identify environmental impacts associated with the project.

On 28 February 2011 David Hoare Consulting cc was appointed by Savannah Environmental (Pty) Ltd to undertake an ecological assessment of the study area. The specific terms of reference for the ecological EIA study are as follows:

- An indication of the methodology used in determining the significance of potential environmental impacts;
- A description of the environmental issues that were identified during the environmental impact assessment process;
- An assessment of the significance of direct, indirect and cumulative impacts in terms of standard criteria;
- A description and comparative assessment of all alternatives identified during the environmental impact assessment process;
- Recommendations regarding practical mitigation measures for potentially significant impacts, for inclusion in the Environmental Management Plan;
- An indication of the extent to which the issue could be addressed by the adoption of achievable mitigation measures;
- A description of any assumptions, uncertainties and gaps in knowledge;
- An environmental impact statement which contains
    - A summary of the key findings of the environmental impact assessment,
    - An assessment of the positive and negative implications of the proposed activity,
    - A comparative assessment of the positive and negative implications of the distribution line alternatives,
    - A comparative assessment of the positive and negative implications of the access road alternatives.

This report provides details of the results of the EIA phase. The findings of the study are based on a combination of a desktop assessment of the study area, detailed interpretation of aerial photography and fieldwork undertaken on site.

Study area

At a regional level the study area falls within the Northern Cape Province to the south-east of the town of Upington, near to Groblershoop. A more detailed description of the study area is provided in a section below.

METHODOLOGY

The assessment is to be undertaken in two phases, a Scoping phase and an Environmental Impact Assessment phase. The objective of the EIA phase study was to assess the significance of potential impacts on flora, fauna and ecology within the study area. This report contains all the descriptive information on flora and fauna that were presented in the Scoping report as well as a comprehensive assessment of potential impacts. The results of the EIA phase study are provided in this report.

Assessment philosophy

Many parts of South Africa contain high levels of biodiversity at species and ecosystem level. At any single site there may be large numbers of species or high ecological complexity. Sites also vary in their natural character and uniqueness and the level to which they have been previously disturbed. Assessing the potential impacts of a proposed development often requires evaluating the conservation value of a site relative to other natural areas and relative to the national importance of the site in terms of biodiversity conservation. A simple approach to evaluating the relative importance of a site includes assessing the following:

- Is the site unique in terms of natural or biodiversity features?
- Is the protection of biodiversity features on the site of national/provincial importance?
- Would development of the site lead to contravention of any international, national or provincial legislation, policy, convention or regulation?

Thus, the general approach adopted for this type of study is to identify any critical biodiversity issues that may lead to the decision that the proposed project cannot take place, i.e. to specifically focus on red flags and/or potential fatal flaws. Biodiversity issues are assessed by documenting whether any important biodiversity features occur on site, including species, ecosystems or processes that maintain ecosystems and/or species. These can be organised in a hierarchical fashion, as follows:

Species

1. threatened plant species
2. protected trees
3. threatened animal species

Ecosystems

1. threatened ecosystems
2. protected ecosystems
3. critical biodiversity areas
4. areas of high biodiversity
5. centres of endemism

Processes

1. corridors
2. mega-conservancy networks
3. rivers and wetlands
4. important topographical features

It is not the intention to provide comprehensive lists of all species that occur on site, since most of the species on these lists are usually common or widespread species. Rare, threatened, protected and conservation-worthy species and habitats are considered to be the highest priority, the presence of which are most likely to result in significant negative impacts

on the ecological environment. The focus on national and provincial priorities and critical biodiversity issues is in line with National legislation protecting environmental and biodiversity resources, including, but not limited to the following which ensure protection of ecological processes, natural systems and natural beauty as well as the preservation of biotic diversity in the natural environment:

1. Environment Conservation Act (Act 73 of 1989)
2. National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (NEMA) (Act 107 of 1998)
3. National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, 2004. (Act 10 0f 2004)

Plant and animal species of concern

The purpose of listing Red List plant and animal species is to provide information on the potential occurrence of species of special concern in the study area that may be affected by the proposed infrastructure. Species appearing on these lists can then be assessed in terms of their habitat requirements in order to determine whether any of them have a likelihood of occurring in habitats that may be affected by the proposed infrastructure.

Lists were compiled specifically for any species of conservation concern previously recorded in the area and any other species with potential conservation value. Historical occurrences of threatened plant species were obtained from the South African National Biodiversity Institute for the quarter degree squares within which the study area is situated.

Regulations published for the National Forests Act provide a list of protected tree species for South Africa. The species on this list were assessed in order to determine which protected tree species have a geographical distribution that coincides with the study area and habitat requirements that may be met by available habitat in the study area.

Provincial and National legislation was evaluated in rder to provide lists of any plant or animal species that have protected status. The most important legislation is the following: *National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (Act No 10 of 2004)*.

Lists of threatened animal species that have a geographical range that includes the study area were obtained from literature sources (for example, Alexander & Marais 2007, Branch 1988, 2001, du Preez & Carruthers 2009, Friedmann & Daly 2004, Mills & Hes 1997). The likelihood of any of them occurring was evaluated on the basis of habitat preference and habitats available at each of the proposed sites. The three parameters used to assess the probability of occurrence for each species were as follows:

- *Habitat requirements*: most Red Data animals have very specific habitat requirements and the presence of these habitat characteristics within the study area were assessed;
- *Habitat status*: in the event that available habitat is considered suitable for these species, the status or ecological condition was assessed. Often, a high level of degradation of a specific habitat type will negate the potential presence of Red Data species (especially wetland-related habitats where water-quality plays a major role); and
- *Habitat linkage*: movement between areas used for breeding and feeding purposes forms an essential part of ecological existence of many species. The connectivity of the study area to these surrounding habitats and adequacy of these linkages are assessed for the ecological functioning Red Data species within the study area.

For all threatened or protected organisms (flora and fauna) that occur in the general geographical area of the site, a rating of the likelihood of it occurring on site is given as follows:

- LOW: no suitable habitats occur on site / habitats on site do not match habitat description for species;
- MEDIUM: habitats on site match general habitat description for species (e.g. fynbos), but detailed microhabitat requirements (e.g. mountain fynbos on shallow soils overlying Table Mountain sandstone) are absent on the site or are unknown from the descriptions given in the literature or from the authorities;
- HIGH: habitats found on site match very strongly the general and microhabitat description for the species (e.g. mountain fynbos on shallow soils overlying Table Mountain sandstone);
- DEFINITE: species found in habitats on site.

Assessment of impacts

Direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts of the issues identified through the scoping study, as well as all other issues identified in the EIA phase were assessed in terms of the following criteria:

» The **nature**, which includes a description of what causes the effect, what will be affected and how it will be affected.
» The **extent**, wherein it is indicated whether the impact will be local (limited to the immediate area or site of development) or regional, and a value between 1 and 5 was assigned as appropriate (with 1 being low and 5 being high):
» The **duration**, wherein it was indicated whether:
  * the lifetime of the impact will be of a very short duration (0–1 years) – assigned a score of 1;
  * the lifetime of the impact will be of a short duration (2-5 years) - assigned a score of 2;
  * medium-term (5–15 years) – assigned a score of 3;
  * long term (> 15 years) - assigned a score of 4; or
  * permanent - assigned a score of 5;
» The **magnitude**, quantified on a scale from 0-10, where 0 is small and will have no effect on the environment, 2 is minor and will not result in an impact on processes, 4 is low and will cause a slight impact on processes, 6 is moderate and will result in processes continuing but in a modified way, 8 is high (processes are altered to the extent that they temporarily cease), and 10 is very high and results in complete destruction of patterns and permanent cessation of processes.
» The **probability** *of occurrence*, which describes the likelihood of the impact actually occurring. Probability was estimated on a scale of 1–5, where 1 is very improbable (probably will not happen), 2 is improbable (some possibility, but low likelihood), 3 is probable (distinct possibility), 4 is highly probable (most likely) and 5 is definite (impact will occur regardless of any prevention measures).
» the **significance**, was determined through a synthesis of the characteristics described above and can be assessed as low, medium or high; and
» the **status**, which was described as either positive, negative or neutral.
» the degree to which the impact can be reversed.
» the degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources.
» the degree to which the impact can be mitigated.

The **significance** was calculated by combining the criteria in the following formula:

$S=(E+D+M)P$

S = Significance weighting
E = Extent
D = Duration
M = Magnitude
P = Probability

The **significance weightings** for each potential impact are as follows:

» < 30 points: Low (i.e. where this impact would not have a direct influence on the decision to develop in the area),
» 30-60 points: Medium (i.e. where the impact could influence the decision to develop in the area unless it is effectively mitigated),
» > 60 points: High (i.e. where the impact must have an influence on the decision process to develop in the area).

Habitat sensitivity

The purpose of producing a habitat sensitivity map is to provide information on the location of potentially sensitive features in the study area. This was compiled by taking the following into consideration:

1. The general status of the vegetation of the study area was derived by compiling a landcover data layer for the study area (*sensu* Fairbanks et al. 2000) using available satellite imagery and aerial photography. From this it can be seen which areas are transformed versus those that are still in a natural status.
2. Various provincial, regional or national level conservation planning studies have been undertaken in the area, e.g. the National Spatial Biodiversity Assessment (NSBA. The mapped results from these were taken into consideration in compiling the habitat sensitivity map.
3. Habitats in which various species of plants or animals occur that may be protected or are considered to have high conservation status are considered to be sensitive.

An explanation of the different sensitivity classes is given in Table 1. Areas containing untransformed natural vegetation of conservation concern, high diversity or habitat complexity, Red List organisms or systems vital to sustaining ecological functions are considered potentially sensitive. In contrast, any transformed area that has no importance for the functioning of ecosystems is considered to potentially have low sensitivity.

Table 1: Explanation of sensitivity ratings.

Sensitivity	Factors contributing to sensitivity	Example of qualifying features
VERY HIGH	Indigenous natural areas that are highly positive for any of the following: • presence of threatened species (Critically Endangered, Endangered, Vulnerable) and/or habitat critical for the survival of populations of threatened species. • High conservation status (low proportion remaining intact, highly fragmented, habitat for species that are at risk). • Protected habitats (areas protected according to national / provincial legislation, e.g. National Forests Act, Draft	• Remaining areas of vegetation type listed in Draft Ecosystem List of NEM:BA as Critically Endangered, Endangered or Vulnerable. • Protected forest patches. • Confirmed presence of populations of

Sensitivity	Factors contributing to sensitivity	Example of qualifying features
	Ecosystem List of NEM:BA, Integrated Coastal Zone Management Act, Mountain Catchment Areas Act, Lake Areas Development Act) And may also be positive for the following: • High intrinsic biodiversity value (high species richness and/or turnover, unique ecosystems) • High value ecological goods & services (e.g. water supply, erosion control, soil formation, carbon storage, pollination, refugia, food production, raw materials, genetic resources, cultural value) • Low ability to respond to disturbance (low resilience, dominant species very old).	threatened species.
HIGH	Indigenous natural areas that are positive for any of the following: • High intrinsic biodiversity value (moderate/high species richness and/or turnover). • presence of habitat highly suitable for threatened species (Critically Endangered, Endangered, Vulnerable species). • Moderate ability to respond to disturbance (moderate resilience, dominant species of intermediate age). • Moderate conservation status (moderate proportion remaining intact, moderately fragmented, habitat for species that are at risk). • Moderate to high value ecological goods & services (e.g. water supply, erosion control, soil formation, carbon storage, pollination, refugia, food production, raw materials, genetic resources, cultural value). And may also be positive for the following: • Protected habitats (areas protected according to national / provincial legislation, e.g. National Forests Act, Draft Ecosystem List of NEM:BA, Integrated Coastal Zone Management Act, Mountain Catchment Areas Act, Lake Areas Development Act)	• Habitat where a threatened species could potentially occur (habitat is suitable, but no confirmed records). • Confirmed habitat for species of lower threat status (near threatened, rare). • Confirmed habitat for large densities of protected trees. • Habitat containing individuals of extreme age. • Habitat with low ability to recover from disturbance. • Habitat with exceptionally high diversity (richness or turnover). • Habitat with unique species composition and narrow distribution. • Ecosystem providing high value ecosystem goods and services.
MEDIUM-HIGH	Indigenous natural areas that are positive for one or two of the factors listed above, but not a combination of factors.	• Habitat with high diversity (richness or turnover). • Habitat where a species of lower threat status (e.g. (near threatened, rare) could

Sensitivity	Factors contributing to sensitivity	Example of qualifying features
		potentially occur (habitat is suitable, but no confirmed records). • Habitat with scattered individuals of protected trees.
MEDIUM	Other indigenous natural areas in which factors listed above are of no particular concern. May also include natural buffers around ecologically sensitive areas and natural links or corridors in which natural habitat is still ecologically functional.	
MEDIUM-LOW	Degraded or disturbed indigenous natural vegetation.	
LOW	No natural habitat remaining.	

Any natural vegetation within which there are features of conservation concern will be classified into one of the high sensitivity classes (MEDIUM-HIGH, HIGH or VERY HIGH. The difference between these three high classes is based on a combination of factors and can be summarised as follows:

1. Areas classified into the VERY HIGH class are vital for the survival of species or ecosystems. They are either known sites for threatened species or are ecosystems that have been identified as being remaining areas of vegetation of critical conservation importance.
2. Areas classified into the HIGH class are of high biodiversity value, but do not necessarily contain features that would put them into the VERY HIGH class. For example, a site that is known to contain a population of a threatened species would be in the VERY HIGH class, but a site where a threatened species could potentially occur (habitat is suitable), but it is not known whether it does occur there or not, is classified into the HIGH sensitivity class. The class also includes any areas that are not specifically identified as having high conservation status, but have high local species richness, unique species composition, low resilience or provide very important ecosystem goods and services.
3. Areas classified into the MEDIUM-HIGH sensitivity class are natural vegetation in which there are one or two features that make them of biodiversity value, but not to the extent that they would be classified into one of the other two higher categories.

Limitations

- Red List species are, by their nature, usually very rare and difficult to locate. Compiling the list of species that could potentially occur in an area is limited by the paucity of collection records that make it difficult to predict whether a species may occur in an area or not. The methodology used in this assessment is designed to reduce the risks of omitting any species, but it is always possible that a species that does not occur on a list may be unexpectedly located in an area.

DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREA

Location

The study site is situated approximately 22 km west of Groblershoop and 45 km south-east of the town of Upington within the Northern Cape (Figure 1). The site falls within the quarter degree grid 2821DC. It is situated south of the Orange River. The proposed facility would occur on Portion 2 of the Farm Kleinbegin 115.

No alternative site is currently being considered for the proposed facility, but the current site is sufficiently large to allow placement of the facility in alternative positions within the site. The site was chosen because of its proximity to Upington and access to the electricity grid.

The study area is accessible from Upington via a gravel road from the N10 which runs from Upington to Groblershoop (through the northern parts of the site). There is a gravel road running near to the eastern boundary of the site. A railway line runs almost along the eastern boundary.

Topography

The study site is situated to the south of the Orange River. The topography of this area is relatively gentle and slopes according to local topographic features. The elevation on site varies from 976 to 1032 m above sea level.



There are various drainage lines draining the study area, all non-perennial. These drain in all directions off the site.

Land types and soils

Detailed soil information is not available for broad areas of the country. As a surrogate, landtype data was used to provide a general description of soils in the study area (landtypes are areas with largely uniform soils, topography and climate). There are two land types in the study area (Figure 2). The most common land type in the study area is Ag with a small area of Ae in the mid-eastern to south-eastern part of the site (Land Type Survey Staff, 1987).

The A-group of land types refer to yellow and red soils without water tables belonging to one or more of the following soil forms: Inanda, Kranskop, Magwa, Hutton, Griffin, Clovelly. The Ag landtype consists of red, high base status soils, < 300 mm deep (MacVicar et al. 1974). The Ae landtype consists of red, high base status, > 300 mm deep soils and no dunes (MacVicar et al. 1974).

Climate

The climate is arid to semi-arid. Rainfall occurs from November to April, but peaks in mid- to late summer (February / March). Mean annual rainfall is 140 mm to 170 mm per year. All areas with less than 400 mm rainfall are considered to be arid. The study area can therefore be considered to be arid to very arid.



Landuse and landcover of the study area

A landcover map of the study area (Fairbanks *et al*. 2000) indicates that the entire site consists of natural vegetation. This is confirmed from 1:50 000 topo-cadastral maps, Google imagery of the study area and the field survey of the site. Livestock farming has affected the vegetation to some degree. This area of the country consists primarily of farms used as rangeland for commercial livestock production. Commercial farming systems are characterised by land stocked at economically sustainable levels. These regions have been commercially farmed as stock ranches for close to 100 years. Degradation of vegetation has been blamed on high stocking rates of domestic livestock in commercial farming areas. The study area is no exception and degradation due to overgrazing has occurred in places, although this has only happened to a small degree on site.

Broad vegetation types of the region

The study area falls within the Nama-Karoo Biome (Rutherford & Westfall 1986, Mucina & Rutherford 2006). The most recent and detailed description of the vegetation of this region is part of a national map (Mucina, Rutherford & Powrie, 2005; Mucina *et al*. 2006). This map shows two vegetation types occurring within the study site, namely Bushmanland Arid Grassland and Bushmanland Vloere (Figure 3). These two vegetation types are described in more detail below.



Bushmanland Arid Grassland

This vegetation type occurs on extensive, relatively flat plains and is sparsely vegetated by tussock grasses, including *Stipagrostis ciliata*, *Aristida adscensionis*, *Aristida congesta*, *Enneapogon desvauxii*, *Eragrostis nindensis*, *Schmidtia kalahariensis* and *Stipagrostis obtusa*. In some years after good rains there are abundant displays of annual herbs (Mucina et al. 2006). There are no known endemics in this vegetation (Mucina *et al*. 2006), but the vegetation contains endemics belonging to the Griqualand West or Gariep Centres of Endemism (van Wyk & Smith 2001), namely *Aizoon asbestinum*, *Maerua gilgii*, *Ruschia muricata* and *Aloe gariepensis*. The vegetation type also contains the protected tree species, *Acacia erioloba, Acacia haematoxylon* and *Boscia albitrunca*. At a national scale this vegetation type has been transformed only a small amount and 27% is conserved in Augrabies Falls National Park; it is not therefore considered to be a threatened vegetation type (Mucina *et al*. 2006).

Bushmanland Vloere

This is the vegetation of the salt pans and broad riverbeds of the central Bushmanland basin (Mucina et al. 2006). It occurs in areas of flat and very even surfaces of pans and broad bottoms of intermittent dry rivers. Typically, the central parts are devoid of vegetation. Around this is loosely patterned scrub dominated by Rhigozum trichotomum and various species of Salsola and Lycium, with a mixture of karroid dwarf shrubs. In places loose thickets of Parkinsonia africana, Lebeckia linearifolia and Acacia karroo may be found. This vegetation type is considered to be Least Threatened with about 2% transformed and none conserved of a target of 24%.

Conservation status of broad vegetation types

On the basis of a recently established approach used at national level by SANBI (Driver *et al*. 2005), vegetation types can be categorised according to their conservation status which is, in turn, assessed according to the degree of transformation relative to the expected extent of each vegetation type. The status of a habitat or vegetation type is based on how much of its original area still remains intact relative to various thresholds. The original extent of a vegetation type is as presented in the most recent national vegetation map (Mucina, Rutherford & Powrie 2005) and is the extent of the vegetation type in the absence of any historical human impact. On a national scale the thresholds are as depicted in Table 1, as determined by best available scientific approaches (Driver *et al*. 2005).

The level at which an ecosystem becomes Critically Endangered differs from one ecosystem to another and varies from 16% to 36% (Driver et al. 2005).

Both of the vegetation types occurring in the study area (Table 2) are classified as Least Threatened (Driver *et al*. 2005; Mucina *et al*., 2006).

Table 2: Conservation status of different vegetation types occurring in the study area, according to Driver *et al*. 2005 and Mucina *et al*. 2005.

Vegetation Type	Target (%)	Conserved (%)	Transformed (%)	Conservation status	
				Driver *et al*. 2005; Mucina *et al*., 2006	Draft Ecosystem List (NEMBA)
Bushmanland Arid Grassland	21	1	1	Least Threatened	Not listed
Bushmanland Vloere	24	0	2	Least Threatened	Not listed

The Siyanda Environmental Management Framework (Environomics 2010) identifies areas of high conservation priority in the Siyanda area. Bushmanland Arid Grassland and Bushmanland Vloere are listed as having medium conservation priority within this Management Framework. The site is therefore not within a high conservation priority area or within a proposed conservation area (Environomics 2010).

Critical Biodiversity Areas have been identified for all municipal areas of the Northern Cape Province and are published on the SANBI website (bgis.sanbi.org). These maps identify wetlands as the only areas of concern in the study area (Figure 4). This is consistent with patterns identified from other sources within the current scoping document.

Red List plant species of the study area

Lists of plant species previously recorded in the quarter degree grids in which the study area is situated were obtained from the South African National Biodiversity Institute. These are listed in Appendix 1. Additional species that could occur in similar habitats, as determined from database searches and literature sources, but have not been recorded in these grids are also listed. There are six species on this list.

The species on this list were evaluated to determine the likelihood of any of them occurring on site on the basis of habitat suitability. Of the species that are considered to occur within the geographical area under consideration, there is one species on the Red List that could occur in habitats that are available in the study area, *Aloe dichotoma* var. *dichotoma*. According to IUCN Ver. 3.1 (IUCN, 2001) this species is listed as Vulnerable. There are also two species



listed as Declining that could occur on site (see Table 3 for explanation of categories), *Acacia erioloba* and *Hoodia gordonii*. Species listed as Declining are a low conservation priority and not considered to be threatened. None of these species were found on site.

Table 3: Explanation of IUCN Ver. 3.1 categories (IUCN, 2001), and Orange List categories (Victor & Keith, 2004).

IUCN / Orange List category	Definition	Class
EX	Extinct	Extinct
CR	Critically Endangered	Red List
EN	Endangered	Red List
VU	Vulnerable	Red List
NT	Near Threatened	Orange List
Declining	Declining taxa	Orange List
Rare	Rare	Orange List
Critically Rare	Rare: only one subpopulation	Orange List
Rare-Sparse	Rare: widely distributed but rare	Orange List
DDD	Data Deficient: well known but not enough information for assessment	Orange List
DDT	Data Deficient: taxonomic problems	Data Deficient
DDX	Data Deficient: unknown species	Data Deficient

Red List animal species of the study area

All Red List vertebrates (mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians) that could occur in the study area are listed in Appendix 2. Those vertebrate species with a geographical distribution that includes the study area, and habitat preference that includes habitats available in the study area are discussed further.

There are three mammal species of low conservation concern that could occur in available habitats in the study area. This includes three species classified nationally as near threatened (NT), i.e. the Honey Badger, Littledale's Whistling Rat and Darling's Horseshoe Bat, all three of which are classified as Least Concern globally.

There are three threatened bird species (all VU) and three Near Threatened bird species that have a medium to high probability of utilising available habitats in the study area, either for foraging or breeding. The two species most likely to use parts of the site for breeding are the Kori Bustard and Ludwig's Bustard. The Martial Eagle, Secretarybird, Lanner Falcon and Sclater's Lark may also use the site or parts of the site for foraging.

The Giant Bullfrog is the only amphibian species with a distribution that includes the study area and which could occur on site. This species is classified as Least Concern globally and Near threatened in South Africa. It is, however, protected under the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act. There was no suitable habitat for this species on site.

There are no reptile species of conservation concern that have a distribution that includes the study area.

Protected trees

Tree species protected under the National Forest Act are listed in Appendix 3. Those that have a geographical distribution that includes the study area are *Acacia erioloba* (Camel Thorn,

Kameeldoring), *Acacia haematoxylon* (Grey Camel Thorn, Vaalkameeldoring) and *Boscia albitrunca* (Shepherd's Tree / Witgatboom / !Xhi).

The tree *Acacia erioloba* (Camel Thorn, Kameeldoring) occurs in dry woodland along watercourses in arid areas where underground water is present as well as on deep Kalahari sands (mostly Bushmanland Arid Grassland). *Acacia haematoxylon* (Grey Camel Thorn, Vaalkameeldoring) occurs on deep Kalahari sand between dunes or along dry watercourses (Bushmanland Arid Grassland). *Boscia albitrunca* (Shepherd's Tree / Witgatboom / !Xhi) occurs in semi-desert areas and bushveld, often on termitaria, but is common on sandy to loamy soils and calcrete soils (mostly Bushmanland Arid Grassland). *Acacia erioloba* is relatively common in the study area, whereas *Acacia haematoxylon* and *Boscia albitrunca* occur more sparsely.

The site contained a number of individuals of *Boscia albitrunca*. They occur primarily associated with drainage areas and watercourses, although scattered individuals may also occur in terrestrial habitats (Figure 5). A total of 201 protected trees were found in the northern part of the site where infrastructure is proposed to be located.

Sensitivity assessment

The sensitivity assessment identifies those parts of the study area that have high conservation value or that may be sensitive to disturbance. There are a number of features that need to be taken into account in order to evaluate sensitivity in the study area. These include the



following:

1. Perennial and non-perennial rivers and watercourses: this represents a number of ecological processes including groundwater dynamics, hydrological processes, nutrient cycling and wildlife dispersal;
2. Occurrence of populations of protected trees.

These factors have been taken into account in evaluating sensitivity within the northern part of the site, where infrastructure is proposed to be located (Figure 6). The sensitivity classification for the site is as follows:

1. HIGH: All drainage areas on site. They are considered to be areas that provide high value ecosystem goods and services and also contain high numbers of protected trees.
2. MEDIUM-HIGH: All other remaining natural areas on site, all of which contain moderate densities of protected trees.

This sensitivity assessment is based on a desktop study, detailed field evaluation of the site and detailed analysis of aerial photography.



RELEVANT LEGISLATIVE AND PERMIT REQUIREMENTS

Relevant legislation is provided in this section to provide a description of the key legal considerations of importance to the proposed project. The applicable legislation is listed below.

Legislation

National Environmental Management Act, Act No. 107 of 1998 (NEMA)

NEMA requires, inter alia, that:

- "development must be socially, environmentally, and economically sustainable",
- "disturbance of ecosystems and loss of biological diversity are avoided, or, where they cannot be altogether avoided, are minimised and remedied." ,
- "a risk-averse and cautious approach is applied, which takes into account the limits of current knowledge about the consequences of decisions and actions",

NEMA states that "the environment is held in public trust for the people, the beneficial use of environmental resources must serve the public interest and the environment must be protected as the people's common heritage."

National Forests Act (Act no 84 of 1998)

Protected trees

According to this act, the Minister may declare a tree, group of trees, woodland or a species of trees as protected. The prohibitions provide that ' no person may cut, damage, disturb, destroy or remove any *protected tree*, or collect, remove, transport, export, purchase, sell, donate or in any other manner acquire or dispose of any protected tree, except under a licence granted by the Minister'.

Forests

Prohibits the destruction of indigenous trees in any natural forest without a licence.

National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (Act No 10 of 2004)

In terms of the Biodiversity Act, the developer has a responsibility for:

- The conservation of endangered ecosystems and restriction of activities according to the categorisation of the area (not just by listed activity as specified in the EIA regulations).
- Promote the application of appropriate environmental management tools in order to ensure integrated environmental management of activities thereby ensuring that all development within the area are in line with ecological sustainable development and protection of biodiversity.
- Limit further loss of biodiversity and conserve endangered ecosystems.

Chapter 4 of the Act relates to threatened or protected ecosystems or species. According to Section 57 of the Act, "Restricted activities involving listed threatened or protected species":

- (1) A person may not carry out a restricted activity involving a specimen of a listed threatened or protected species without a permit issued in terms of Chapter 7.

Conservation of Agricultural Resources (Act No. 43 of 1983) as amended in 2001

Declared Weeds and Invaders in South Africa are categorised according to one of the following categories:

- Category 1 plants: are prohibited and must be controlled.
- Category 2 plants: (commercially used plants) may be grown in demarcated areas providing that there is a permit and that steps are taken to prevent their spread.

- Category 3 plants: (ornamentally used plants) may no longer be planted; existing plants may remain, as long as all reasonable steps are taken to prevent the spreading thereof, except within the floodline of watercourses and wetlands.

Northern Cape Nature Conservation Act, No. 9 of 2009

This Act provides for the sustainable utilisation of wild animals, aquatic biota and plants; provides for the implementation of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora; provides for offences and penalties for contravention of the Act; provides for the appointment of nature conservators to implement the provisions of the Act; and provides for the issuing of permits and other authorisations. Amongst other regulations, the following may apply to the current project:

- Boundary fences may not be altered in such a way as to prevent wild animals from freely moving onto or off of a property;
- Aquatic habitats may not be destroyed or damaged;
- The owner of land upon which an invasive species is found (plant or animal) must take the necessary steps to eradicate or destroy such species.

The Act provides lists of specially protected and protected species for the Province. According to the Act, no person may hunt, import, export, transport, keep, possess, breed or trade in a specimen of a specially protected or protected animal species. According to the Act, no person may pick, import, export, transport, possess, cultivate or trade in a specimen of a specially protected or protected plant species.

The Act makes no mention of control of impacts due to development or destruction *in situ* of any listed species due to construction activities or any permit requirements for these types of activities.

National Water Act, No. 36 of 1998

Provides for the protection of water resources in South Africa, including protecting aquatic and associated ecosystems and their biological diversity, reducing, and preventing pollution and degradation of water resources. According to the Act, any activities that are contemplated that could affect the wetlands requires authorisation (Section 21 of the National Water Act of 1998). A "watercourse" in terms of the National Water Act (act 36 of 1998) means:

- River or spring;
- A natural channel in which water flows regularly or intermittently;
- A wetland, lake or dam into which, or from which, water flows; and
- Any collection of water which the Minister may, by notice in the gazette, declare to be a watercourse, and a reference to a watercourse includes, where relevant, its bed and banks.

DESCRIPTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE

The position of the proposed infrastructure within the study area is indicated in Figure 6. This shows the array positions, overhead power lines and main access road.

There is a single power line alignments from the site to the Kleinbegin substation. The alignment follows an existing 132 kV Eskom power line.

The proposed access road runs from near to the Kleinbegin railway station to the PV arrays on site. This is a direct option through natural vegetation where there is no existing road. The alternative access road (option 2) follows the existing power line that exists the southern part of the site of the solar array, travels to the property boundary tne follows the fenceline to the Kleinbegin railway station.

The evaluation of impacts will therefore be undertaken for the following infrastructure components:

1. Solar array, including optional switiching station;
2. Overhead 132 kV power line;
3. Access road (2 options).



IDENTIFICATION OF RISKS AND POTENTIAL IMPACTS

Potential issues relevant to potential impacts on the ecology of the study area include the following:

- Impacts on biodiversity: this includes any impacts on populations of individual species of concern (flora and fauna), including protected species, and on overall species richness. This includes impacts on genetic variability, population dynamics, overall species existence or health and on habitats important for species of concern.
- Impacts on sensitive habitats: this includes impacts on any sensitive or protected habitats, including indigenous forest, fynbos and wetland vegetation that leads to direct or indirect loss of such habitat.
- Impacts on ecosystem function: this includes impacts on any processes or factors that maintain ecosystem health and character, including the following:
    - disruption to nutrient-flow dynamics;
    - impedance of movement of material or water;
    - habitat fragmentation;
    - changes to abiotic environmental conditions;
    - changes to disturbance regimes, e.g. increased or decreased incidence of fire;
    - changes to successional processes;
    - effects on pollinators;
    - increased invasion by alien plants.

  Changes to factors such as these may lead to a reduction in the resilience of plant communities and ecosystems or loss or change in ecosystem function.
- Secondary and cumulative impacts on ecology: this includes an assessment of the impacts of the proposed project taken in combination with the impacts of other known projects for the area or secondary impacts that may arise from changes in the social, economic or ecological environment.
- Impacts on the economic use of vegetation: this includes any impacts that affect the productivity or function of ecosystems in such a way as to reduce the economic value to users, e.g. reduction in grazing capacity, loss of harvestable products. It is a general consideration of the impact of a project on the supply of so-called ecosystem goods and services.

A number of direct risks to ecosystems that would result from **construction** of the proposed solar energy facility are as follows:

- Clearing of land for construction.
- Construction of access roads.
- Placement of power lines, cables and water pipelines (if applicable).
- Establishment of borrow and spoil areas.
- Chemical contamination of the soil by construction vehicles and machinery.
- Operation of construction camps.
- Storage of materials required for construction.

There are also risks associated with **operation** of the proposed facility, as follows:

- Maintenance of surrounding vegetation as part of management of the facility.

Description of potential impacts

Major potential impacts are described briefly below. These are compiled from a generic list of possible impacts derived from previous projects of this nature and from a literature review of the potential impacts of solar energy facilities on the ecological environment. The major expected negative impact will be due to loss of habitat which may have direct or indirect impacts on individual organisms.

Impact 1: Impacts on indigenous natural vegetation (terrestrial)

Nature: Construction of infrastructure will lead to direct loss of vegetation. This will lead to localised or more extensive reduction in the overall extent of vegetation. There are factors that may aggravate this potential impact. For example, where this vegetation has already been stressed due to degradation and transformation at a regional level, the loss may lead to increased vulnerability (susceptibility to future damage) of the habitat and a change in the conservation status (current conservation situation). The general condition of the vegetation on site can only be assessed during the field survey to be undertaken during the EIA phase. Consequences of the potential impact of loss of indigenous natural vegetation occurring may include:

1. negative change in conservation status of habitat (Driver et al. 2005);
2. increased vulnerability of remaining portions to future disturbance;
3. general loss of habitat for sensitive species;
4. loss in variation within sensitive habitats due to loss of portions of it;
5. general reduction in biodiversity;
6. increased fragmentation (depending on location of impact);
7. disturbance to processes maintaining biodiversity and ecosystem goods and services; and
8. loss of ecosystem goods and services.

The vegetation types on site are Bushmanland Arid Grassland and Bushmanland Vloere, which are classified as Least Threatened.

Impact 2: Impacts on threatened plants

Nature: Plant species are especially vulnerable to infrastructure development due to the fact that they cannot move out of the path of the construction activities, but are also affected by overall loss of habitat.

Threatened species include those classified as critically endangered, endangered or vulnerable. For any other species a loss of individuals or localised populations is unlikely to lead to a change in the conservation status of the species. However, in the case of threatened plant species, loss of a population or individuals could lead to a direct change in the conservation status of the species, possibly extinction. This may arise if the proposed infrastructure is located where it will impact on such individuals or populations. Consequences may include:

1. fragmentation of populations of affected species;
2. reduction in area of occupancy of affected species; and
3. loss of genetic variation within affected species.

These may all lead to a negative change in conservation status of the affected species, which implies a reduction in the chance of survival of the species.

There are very few threatened species listed for the area surrounding the site. This is unfortunately due to the fact that this is an extremely under-collected area floristically speaking and the local flora is not well documented. There may, therefore, be a number of

species that occur within this area for which there are no records. There is one known Red List plant species that has a geographic distribution that includes the site and which could occur in available habitats in the study area. This species (*Aloe dichotoma* subsp. *dichotoma*) is classified as Vulnerable. It does not occur on site. There are therefore no threatened plant species that will be affected by the proposed project.

Impact 3: Impacts on protected tree species

There are a number of tree species that are protected according to Government Notice no. 1012 under section 12(I)(d) of the National Forests Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998). In terms of section1 5(1) of the National Forests Act, 1998 "no person may cut, disturb, damage or destroy any protected tree or possess, collect, remove, transport, export, purchase, sell donate or in any other manner acquire or dispose of any protected tree or any forest product derived from a protected tree, except under a license granted by the Minister to an (applicant and subject to such period and conditions as may be stipulated".

A number of species have a geographic distribution that includes the study area appear on this list, including the following: *Acacia erioloba, Acacia haematoxylon* and *Boscia albitrunca*. Only *Boscia albitrunca* occurs on site. They occur primarily associated with drainage areas, although scattered individuals may also occur in terrestrial habitats (see Figure 5).

Impact 4: Impacts on threatened animals

Nature: Threatened animal species are indirectly affected primarily by the overall loss of habitat, since direct construction impacts can often be avoided due to movement of individuals from the path of construction. Animals are generally mobile and, in most cases, can move away from a potential threat.

Threatened species include those classified as critically endangered, endangered or vulnerable. For any other species a loss of individuals or localised populations is unlikely to lead to a change in the conservation status of the species. However, in the case of threatened animal species, loss of a population or individuals could lead to a direct change in the conservation status of the species. This may arise if the proposed infrastructure is located where it will impact on such individuals or populations or the habitat that they depend on. Consequences may include:

1. fragmentation of populations of affected species;
2. reduction in area of occupancy of affected species; and
3. loss of genetic variation within affected species.

These may all lead to a negative change in conservation status of the affected species, which implies a reduction in the chances of the species overall survival chances.

There are three mammal species and six bird species of conservation concern that could occur in available habitats in the proposed study area. This includes three species classified as Vulnerable, Kori Bustard, Ludwig's bustard and Martial Eagle, and six species classified as near threatened (NT), Secretarybird, Lanner Falcon, Sclater's Lark, Honey Badger, Littledale's Whistling Rat and Darling's Horseshoe Bat. Only three of these have been assessed as having a high probability of occurring on site, Littledale's Whistling Rat (NT), the Dassie Rat (NT) and the Honey Badger (NT). It must be noted that, although the national status for these three species is NT, the global status is considered to be Least Concern (www.iucnredlist.org). The site is therefore not considered to be of high importance to threatened animal species. None of these species were found on site during the field investigation. The site is therefore not considered to be important for threatened animal species. All the potentially affected species have the ability to move away from the path of construction.

Impact 5: Impacts on wetlands and drainage areas

Nature: The site is in a very arid area. There are unlikely to be any wetlands on site, but there are clearly a number of dry river beds and drainage areas. According to the National Water Act, these may be classified as water resources. Whilst the plant design already takes account of main water course beds identified on site, construction may lead to some direct or indirect loss of or damage to some of these areas or changes to the catchment of these areas. This may affect the hydrology of the landscape or lead to loss of habitat for species that depend on this habitat type. Dry river beds and drainage lines are an important habitat for a number of species in the study area, including those with a restricted distribution or species with an elevated conservation status.

There are watercourses that will be affected by the proposed infrastructure (solar arrays, access road and overhead power lines).

Impact 6: Establishment and spread of declared weeds and alien invader plants

Major factors contributing to invasion by alien invader plants includes *inter alia* high disturbance (such as clearing for construction activites) and negative grazing practices (Zachariades *et al*. 2005). Exotic species are often more prominent near infrastructural disturbances than further away (Gelbard & Belnap 2003, Watkins *et al*. 2003). Consequences of this may include:

1. loss of indigenous vegetation;
2. change in vegetation structure leading to change in various habitat characteristics;
3. change in plant species composition;
4. change in soil chemical properties;
5. loss of sensitive habitats;
6. loss or disturbance to individuals of rare, endangered, endemic and/or protected species;
7. fragmentation of sensitive habitats;
8. change in flammability of vegetation, depending on alien species;
9. hydrological impacts due to increased transpiration and runoff; and
10. impairment of wetland function.

It is not known to what extent the site contains alien plants. Potential weeds with a distribution centred on arid regions of the country include *Salsola kali*, *Atriplex lindleyi*, *Opuntia ficus-indica, Opuntia imbricata, Prosopis glandulosa, Prosopis velutina, Atriplex numularia,* and *Nicotiana glauca*. The shrub, *Prosopis glandulosa*, is potentially the most problematic. This species invades riverbeds, riverbanks and drainage lines in semi-arid and arid regions and has been recorded near to the site. There is therefore the potential for alien plants to spread or invade following disturbance on site. No alien plants were found on site.

ASSESSMENT OF IMPACTS

Impacts are assessed for each alignment alternative, as shown in all figures in this report.

Solar arrays

Impact 1: Loss or fragmentation of indigenous natural vegetation (terrestrial)
The most widespread vegetation types on the proposed development site are Bushmanland Arid Grassland and Bushmanland Vloere, which are classified as Least Threatened. There will be total loss of vegetation under the solar arrays.

Duration: The impact will be permenent due to the fact that clearing of vegetation for construction purposes cannot be reversed.

Extent: The impact will occur at the site of the proposed solar array.

Magnitude: The potential magnitude of this impact will be low (will cause a slight impact on processes). The array is situated entirely within natural vegetation, but there is a small area of vegetation likely to be affected relative to the overall extent of the vegetation types concerned.

Probability: It is definite that there will be impacts on natural vegetation.

Potential significance: The potential significance of this impact could potentially be of medium significance.

Mitigation measures: Unnecessary impacts on surrounding natural vegetation must be avoided. The construction impacts must be contained to the footprint of the array.

Nature: Loss of habitat within indigenous natural vegetation types		
	Without mitigation	**With mitigation**
Extent	Local (1)	Local (1)
Duration	Permanent (5)	Permanent (5)
Magnitude	Low (4)	Low (3)
Probability	Definite (5)	Definite (5)
Significance	**Medium (50)**	**Medium (45)**
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	Not reversible	Not reversible
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	Yes	Yes
Can impacts be mitigated?	No, but can be limited in extent	
Mitigation: Avoid unnecessary impacts on natural vegetation surrounding infrastructure. Impacts should be contained, as much as possible, within the footprint of the array.		
Cumulative impacts: Alien invasions may lead to additional loss of habitat that could exacerbate this impact.		
Residual Impacts: Some loss of this vegetation type will occur, but this is insignificant relative to the total extent of the vegetation type.		

*Significance calculated as (magnitude+duration+extent) x probability. Significance: <30 = low, 30–60 = medium, >60 = high.

Impact 3: Loss of individuals of protected tree species

There is one protected tree species that occurs on site, *Boscia albitrunca*. Approximately 200 individuals occur within the proposed footprint of the solar array.

Duration: The impact will be permanent because clearing of trees for construction purposes will lead to the complete loss of those individuals.

Extent: The impact will occur at the site of the solar array.

Magnitude: The potential magnitude of this impact will be low (will cause a slight impact on processes). This is justified as follows: Having undertaken a number of similar studies in the area around Upington, it is estimated that the density of *Boscia albitrunca* on site is typical of the general area. The study area may, therefore, contain as many as 1500 individuals of *Boscia albitrunca*. The loss of individuals of protected trees within the footprint of the solar array therefore represents approximately 15% of the population within the greater study area.

Probability: It is definite that protected trees will be affected.

Significance: The impact will be of medium significance.

Mitigation measures: Although not considered a mitigation measure, a permit would need to be obtained for any protected trees that are affected. All efforts must be made to not damage trees that are outside the development footprint.

Nature: Loss of individuals of protected trees		
	Without mitigation	**With mitigation**
Extent	Local (1)	Local (1)
Duration	Permanent (5)	Permanent (5)
Magnitude	low (4)	low (4)
Probability	Definite (5)	Definite (5)
Significance	**Medium (50)**	**Medium (50)**
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	Not reversible	Not reversible
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	Yes	Yes
Can impacts be mitigated?	Not necessary	
Mitigation: None.		
Cumulative impacts: None		
Residual Impacts: None likely		

*Significance calculated as (magnitude+duration+extent) x probability. Significance: <30 = low, 30–60 = medium, >60 = high.

Impact 4: Loss of habitat for threatened animals

There is a low likelihood of any threatened or near threatened animal species being affected by the proposed project. Birds and other animals that could potentially occur on site are relatively mobile and will move away during construction. The footprint of the solar array is small relative to the overall availability of habitat in the general area. The potential impact on them due to a loss of a small area of habitat is therefore not considered to be serious.

Duration: In localised areas, the impact will be permanent due to the fact that clearing of habitat for construction purposes cannot be reversed.

Extent: The impact will occur at the site of the proposed solar arrays. In all cases, the area of concern is likely to be limited in extent and is scored as local.

Magnitude: At a local scale, the potential magnitude of this impact will probably be minor (will have no effect on population processes).

Probability: The probability of the impact occurring is rated as improbable. It is not known whether the species occur on site or not. If they do, they will not be critically dependant on the small area of habitat that will be lost.

Mitigation measures: None required.

Nature: Loss of habitat for threatened animals		
	Without mitigation	**With mitigation**
Extent	Local (1)	Local (1)
Duration	Permanent (5)	Permanent (5)
Magnitude	minor (2)	minor (2)
Probability	Improbable (2)	Improbable (2)
Significance	**Low (16)**	**Low (16)**
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	Reversible to some degree	Reversible to some degree
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	No	No
Can impacts be mitigated?	Not required	
Mitigation: None required		
Cumulative impacts: None		
Residual Impacts: None likely		

*Significance calculated as (magnitude+duration+extent) x probability. Significance: <30 = low, 30–60 = medium, >60 = high.

Impact 5: Damage to wetlands/watercourses

There are a number of watercourses and drainage areas on site, of which some are within the footprint of the proposed solar array. According to the National Water Act, these are classified as wetlands or water resources. Whilst the plant design already takes account of main water course beds identified on site, construction will lead to some direct or indirect loss of or damage to these affected areas or changes to the catchment of these areas.

Extent: The impact will occur at the site of the proposed solar array.

Duration: The impact will occur during construction, but will probably result in impacts that have a long-term effect. Within the footprint of the solar array, the impacts will be permanent.

Magnitude: Impacts could result in processes continuing but in a modified way, which is scored as moderate.

Probability: According to the provided layout, it is definite that the impact will occur.

Mitigation measures:

1. Ground surfaces within the solar array must be properly maintained to avoid erosion impacts.
2. A comprehensive storm-water management plan must be compiled for the solar array. This must indicate how water velocities will be reduced before storm water is allowed to enter natural channels and how natural processes for water infiltration of the affected landscape will be accommodated.
3. There is a legal obligation to apply for a Water Use Licence for any wetlands that may be affected, since they are classified in the National Water Act as a water resource.

Nature: Damage to wetland areas resulting in hydrological impacts		
	Without mitigation	**With mitigation**
Extent	local (1)	local (1)
Duration	Permanent (5)	Permanent (5)
Magnitude	Moderate (6)	Low (4)
Probability	Definite (5)	Definite (5)
Significance	**medium (60)**	**medium (50)**
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	negative
Reversibility	Reversible with effective rehabilitation	Reversible
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	Yes	Yes
Can impacts be mitigated?	To some degree	
Mitigation: (1) Ground surfaces within the solar array must be properly maintained to avoid erosion impacts. (2) A comprehensive storm-water management plan must be compiled for the solar array. This must indicate how water velocities will be reduced before storm water is allowed to enter natural channels and how natural processes for water infiltration of the affected landscape will be accommodated. (3) There is a legal obligation to apply for a Water Use Licence for any wetlands that may be affected, since they are classified in the National Water Act as a water resource.		
Cumulative impacts: Soil erosion, alien invasions, may all lead to additional impacts on watercourse habitats that will exacerbate this impact.		
Residual Impacts: None.		

*Significance calculated as (magnitude+duration+extent) x probability. Significance: <30 = low, 30–60 = medium, >60 = high.

Impact 6: Establishment and spread of declared weeds and alien invader plants

There are very few concentrations of alien plants on site. The shrub, *Prosopis glandulosa* (honey mesquite), is found in the general area around the site. Construction of the solar arrays will require the total clearing of vegetation within the footprint and this will probably be maintained as clear areas for the lifetime of the project. It is possible that there will be some invasion by aliens along the margins of disturbed areas. This could to lead to general invasion of surrounding vegetation, especially along watercourses.

Extent: The impact will occur at the site of the solar array and surrounding areas.

Duration: The impact will be long-term unless alien plants are controlled.

Magnitude: The potential magnitude of this impact is moderate for local ecosystems (will result in ecological processes continuing but in a modified way).

Probability: There is a moderate to high likelihood that alien species will spread on site in the absence of control measures. The probability is therefore scored as highly probable.

Potential significance: The impact could potentially be of medium significance. Standard control measures, if put in place, would adequately control this impact and reduce the significance to low.

Mitigation measures: Disturbance of indigenous vegetation must be kept to a minimum. Where disturbance is unavoidable, disturbed areas should be rehabilitated as quickly as possible once construction is completed. Soil stockpiles should not be translocated from areas with alien plants into the site and within the site alien plants on stockpiles must be controlled so as to avoid the development of a soil seed bank of alien plants within the stock-piled soil. Any alien plants must be immediately controlled. An on-going monitoring programme should be implemented to detect and quantify any aliens that may become established and provide information for the management of aliens.

Nature: Establishment and spread of declared weeds and alien invader plants		
	Without mitigation	**With mitigation**
Extent	Site & surroundings (2)	Site & surroundings (2)
Duration	Long-term (4)	Long-term (4)
Magnitude	medium (6)	minor (2)
Probability	Highly probable (4)	Improbable (2)
Significance	**Medium (48)**	**Low (16)**
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	Reversible	Reversible
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	Yes	No
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes	
Mitigation: (1) Keep disturbance of indigenous vegetation surrounding array to a minimum (2) Rehabilitate disturbed areas as quickly as possible following completion of construction activities in an area (3) Do not translocate soil stockpiles from areas with alien plants (4) Control any alien plants immediately to avoid establishment of a soil seed bank that would take decades to remove (5) Establish an on-going monitoring programme to detect and quantify any aliens that may become established		
Cumulative impacts: Other disturbance to parts of the site could lead to similar impacts.		
Residual Impacts: Will probably be very low if control measures are effectively applied		

*Significance calculated as (magnitude+duration+extent) x probability. Significance: <30 = low, 30–60 = medium, >60 = high.

Overhead power line

Impact 1: Loss or fragmentation of indigenous natural vegetation (terrestrial)

The most widespread vegetation types on the proposed power line alignment are Bushmanland Arid Grassland and Bushmanland Vloere, which are classified as Least

Threatened. The route is through natural vegetation, but is alongside an existing power line. It is expected that no new service roads would be required and that only the tower structures would affect natural vegetation.

Duration: The impact will be medium-term. Affected vegetation will recover over time due to the fact that the footprint of the towers is small and vegetation re-growth should repair any other damage associated with construction.

Extent: The impact will occur at the site of the proposed power line servitude.

Magnitude: The impact will be minor (will not result in an impact on processes) due to the small amount of vegetation likely to be affected and the fact that there are existing access routes for the power line.

Probability: It is highly probable that there will be impacts on natural vegetation.

Potential significance: The potential significance of this impact could be of medium significance.

Mitigation measures: Unnecessary impacts on surrounding natural vegetation must be avoided. The construction impacts must be contained to the servitude of the power line. Existing access routes must be used, if possible.

Nature: Loss of habitat within indigenous natural vegetation types		
	Without mitigation	**With mitigation**
Extent	Local (1)	Local (1)
Duration	Medium-term (3)	Medium-term (3)
Magnitude	Minor (2)	Minor (1)
Probability	Highly probable (4)	Highly probable (4)
Significance	**Low (24)**	**Low (20)**
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	Reversible	Reversible
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	Yes	Yes
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes, to a large extent	
Mitigation: Avoid unnecessary impacts on natural vegetation surrounding infrastructure. Impacts should be contained, as much as possible, within the servitude of the power line. Existing access routes must be used, if possible.		
Cumulative impacts: Alien invasions may lead to additional loss of habitat that could exacerbate this impact.		
Residual Impacts: Some loss of this vegetation type will occur, but this is insignificant relative to the total extent of the vegetation type.		

*Significance calculated as (magnitude+duration+extent) x probability. Significance: <30 = low, 30–60 = medium, >60 = high.

Impact 3: Loss of individuals of protected tree species

There is one protected tree species that occurs in numbers in the study area, *Boscia albitrunca*. There are individual trees within the servitude that are likely to be affected by construction of the power line, although the exact position of towers is unknown at this stage.

It is estimated that approximately 20 trees may be under the power lines along the proposed route.

Duration: The impact will be permanent because clearing of trees for construction purposes will lead to the complete loss of those individuals.

Extent: The impact will occur at the site of servitude of the power line.

Magnitude: The potential magnitude of this impact will be minor, due to the small number of trees that are likely to be affected.

Probability: It is highly probable that protected trees will be affected.

Significance: The impact will be of medium significance.

Mitigation measures: Undertake a walkthrough survey of the selected route, once tower and access road positions are known, in order to determine the exact number of individuals that will be affected. If possible, tower structures should be positioned to avoid large individuals of protected trees. Although not considered a mitigation measure, a permit would need to be obtained for any protected trees that are affected, so a legal obligation remains to determine the presence of protected trees irrespective of the significance of the impact.

Nature: Loss of individuals of protected trees		
	Without mitigation	**With mitigation**
Extent	Local (1)	Local (1)
Duration	Permanent (5)	Permanent (5)
Magnitude	minor (2)	minor (1)
Probability	Highly probable (4)	Probable (3)
Significance	**Medium (32)**	**Low (21)**
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	Not reversible	Not reversible
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	Yes	Yes
Can impacts be mitigated?	Not necessary	
Mitigation: Undertake a walkthrough survey of the selected route, once tower and access road positions are known, in order to determine the exact number of individuals that will be affected. Obtain a permit for any protected trees that have to be destroyed or trimmed in order to construct or maintain the power line. Use existing access roads as far as possible. If possible, position towers to avoid large individuals of protected trees.		
Cumulative impacts: None		
Residual Impacts: None likely		

*Significance calculated as (magnitude+duration+extent) x probability. Significance: <30 = low, 30–60 = medium, >60 = high.

Impact 4: Loss of habitat for threatened animals

There is a low likelihood of any threatened or near threatened animal species being affected by the proposed project. Birds and other animals that could potentially occur on site are relatively mobile and will move away during construction. The potential impact on them due to a loss of a small area of habitat is therefore not considered to be serious.

Duration: In localised areas, the impact will be medium-term.

Extent: The impact will occur at the site of the proposed power line.

Magnitude: At a local scale, the potential magnitude of this impact will probably be low (may have a slight effect on population processes).

Probability: The probability of the impact occurring is rated as improbable. It is not known whether the species occur on site or not. If they do, they will not be critically dependant on the small area of habitat that will be lost, but flying creatures may be affected by collisions with power lines.

Mitigation measures: None required.

Nature: Loss of habitat for threatened animals		
	Without mitigation	**With mitigation**
Extent	Local (1)	Local (1)
Duration	Long-term (4)	Long-term (4)
Magnitude	low (4)	low (4)
Probability	Improbable (2)	Improbable (2)
Significance	**Low (18)**	**Low (18)**
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	Reversible to some degree	Reversible to some degree
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	No	No
Can impacts be mitigated?	Not required	
Mitigation: None required		
Cumulative impacts: None		
Residual Impacts: None likely		

*Significance calculated as (magnitude+duration+extent) x probability. Significance: <30 = low, 30–60 = medium, >60 = high.

Impact 5: Damage to wetlands/watercourses

There are a number of watercourses and drainage areas on site, of which some are within the servitude of the proposed power line. According to the National Water Act, these are classified as wetlands or water resources. Construction will lead to some direct or indirect loss of or damage to these affected areas or changes to the catchment of these areas.

Extent: The impact will occur at the site of the proposed power line.

Duration: The impact will occur during construction, but will probably result in impacts that have a long-term effect.

Magnitude: In the long-term, impacts could cause a slight impact on processes continuing but in a modified way, which is scored as low.

Probability: According to the provided layout, it is likely that the impact will occur.

Mitigation measures:

1. Place tower structures a minimum of 50 m from watercourses.

2. Service roads in the servitude must be properly maintained to avoid erosion impacts.
3. If not, there is a legal obligation to apply for a Water Use Licence for any wetlands that may be affected, since they are classified in the National Water Act as a water resource.

Nature: Damage to wetland areas resulting in hydrological impacts		
	Without mitigation	**With mitigation**
Extent	local (1)	local (1)
Duration	Long-term (4)	Long-term (4)
Magnitude	Low (4)	Small (2)
Probability	Probable (3)	Improbable (2)
Significance	**low (27)**	**low (14)**
Status (positive or negative)	negative	negative
Reversibility	Reversible with effective rehabilitation	Reversible
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	Yes	Yes
Can impacts be mitigated?	To some degree	
Mitigation: (1) Place tower structures a minimum of 50 m from watercourses. (2) Service roads in the servitude must be properly maintained to avoid erosion impacts. (3) If not, there is a legal obligation to apply for a Water Use Licence for any wetlands that may be affected, since they are classified in the National Water Act as a water resource.		
Cumulative impacts: Soil erosion, alien invasions, may all lead to additional impacts on watercourse habitats that will exacerbate this impact.		
Residual Impacts: None.		

*Significance calculated as (magnitude+duration+extent) x probability. Significance: <30 = low, 30–60 = medium, >60 = high.

Impact 6: Establishment and spread of declared weeds and alien invader plants

There are very few concentrations of alien plants on site. Construction of the power line will not require the total clearing of vegetation within the footprint, but there will be some disturbance within the servitude that may enhance conditions for alien plants. It is possible that there will be some invasion by aliens along the margins of disturbed areas, but this is unlikely to lead to general invasion of surrounding vegetation.

Extent: The impact will occur at the site of the servitude of the power line and surrounding areas.

Duration: The impact will be long-term unless alien plants are controlled.

Magnitude: The potential magnitude of this impact is low for local ecosystems (may cause a slight impact on ecological processes).

Probability: There is a low likelihood that alien species will spread on site in the absence of control measures. The probability is therefore scored as improbable.

Potential significance: The impact could potentially be of low significance. Standard control measures, if put in place, would adequately control this impact and reduce the significance further.

Mitigation measures: Disturbance of indigenous vegetation must be kept to a minimum. Where disturbance is unavoidable, disturbed areas should be rehabilitated as quickly as possible. Soil stockpiles should not be translocated from areas with alien plants into the site and within the site alien plants on stockpiles must be controlled so as to avoid the development of a soil seed bank of alien plants within the stock-piled soil. Any alien plants must be immediately controlled. An on-going monitoring programme should be implemented to detect and quantify any aliens that may become established and provide information for the management of aliens.

Nature: Establishment and spread of declared weeds and alien invader plants		
	Without mitigation	**With mitigation**
Extent	Site & surroundings (2)	Site & surroundings (2)
Duration	Long-term (4)	Long-term (4)
Magnitude	low (4)	minor (2)
Probability	Improbable (2)	Highly improbable (1)
Significance	**Low (20)**	**Low (8)**
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	Reversible	Reversible
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	Yes	No
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes	
Mitigation: (1) Keep disturbance of indigenous vegetation to a minimum (2) Rehabilitate disturbed areas as quickly as possible following completion of construction activities in an area (3) Do not translocate soil stockpiles from areas with alien plants (4) Control any alien plants immediately to avoid establishment of a soil seed bank that would take decades to remove (5) Establish an on-going monitoring programme to detect and quantify any aliens that may become established		
Cumulative impacts: Other disturbance to parts of the site could lead to similar impacts.		
Residual Impacts: Will probably be very low if control measures are effectively applied		

*Significance calculated as (magnitude+duration+extent) x probability. Significance: <30 = low, 30–60 = medium, >60 = high.

Access road

Impact 1: Loss or fragmentation of indigenous natural vegetation (terrestrial)

The most widespread vegetation types on the proposed development site are Bushmanland Arid Grassland and Bushmanland Vloere, which are classified as Least Threatened. The access road is through natural areas where there are no existing roads.

Duration: The impact will be permanent.

Extent: The impact will occur at the site of the proposed access road.

Magnitude: The potential magnitude of this impact will be low (will cause a slight impact on processes). The array is situated entirely within natural vegetation, but there is a small area of vegetation likely to be affected relative to the overall extent of the vegetation types concerned.

Probability: It is definite that there will be impacts on natural vegetation.

Potential significance: The potential significance of this impact could be of medium significance.

Mitigation measures: Unnecessary impacts on surrounding natural vegetation must be avoided. The construction impacts must be contained to the servitude of the access road.

Nature: Loss of habitat within indigenous natural vegetation types		
	Without mitigation	**With mitigation**
Extent	Local (1)	Local (1)
Duration	Permanent (5)	Permanent (5)
Magnitude	Option 1: Low (4) Option 2: Minor (2)	Option 1: Low (3) Option 2: minor (1)
Probability	Option 1: Definite (5) Option 2: Highly probable (4)	Option 1: Definite (5) Option 2 Highly probable (4)
Significance	**Option 1: Medium (50)** **Option 2: Medium (32)**	**Option 1: Medium (45)** **Option 2: Low (28)**
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	Reversible	Reversible
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	Yes	Yes
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes, to a large extent	
Mitigation: Avoid unnecessary impacts on natural vegetation surrounding infrastructure. Impacts should be contained, as much as possible, within the servitude of the access road.		
Cumulative impacts: Alien invasions may lead to additional loss of habitat that could exacerbate this impact.		
Residual Impacts: Some loss of this vegetation type will occur, but this is insignificant relative to the total extent of the vegetation type.		

*Significance calculated as (magnitude+duration+extent) x probability. Significance: <30 = low, 30–60 = medium, >60 = high.

Impact 3: Loss of individuals of protected tree species

There is one protected tree species that occurs in numbers in the study area, *Boscia albitrunca*. There are individual trees within the servitude that are likely to be affected by construction of the access road. It is estimated that approximately 100 trees may be within the alignment of the option 1 road and 50 trees within the alignment of the option 2 road.

Duration: The impact will be permanent because clearing of trees for construction purposes will lead to the complete loss of those individuals.

Extent: The impact will occur at the site of servitude of the access road.

Magnitude: The potential magnitude of this impact will be low. This is justified as follows: Having undertaken a number of similar studies in the area around Upington, it is estimated that the density of *Boscia albitrunca* on site is typical of the general area. The entire farm

may, therefore, contain as many as 1500 individuals of *Boscia albitrunca*. The loss of individuals of protected trees within the footprint of the access road therefore represents approximately 7% of the population within the farm area for option 1 and 3.5% for option 2.

Probability: It is definite that protected trees will be affected.

Significance: The impact will be of medium significance.

Mitigation measures: Undertake a walkthrough survey of the route in order to determine the exact number of individuals of each species that will be affected. Although not considered a mitigation measure, a permit would need to be obtained for any protected trees that are affected, so a legal obligation remains to determine the presence of protected trees irrespective of the significance of the impact. If possible, if option 1 is preferred, the access road should be re-aligned to avoid major drainage lines in which higher densities of trees occur.

Nature: Loss of individuals of protected trees		
	Without mitigation	**With mitigation**
Extent	Local (1)	Local (1)
Duration	Permanent (5)	Permanent (5)
Magnitude	low (4)	low (3)
Probability	Definite (5)	Definite (5)
Significance	**Medium (50)**	**Medium (45)**
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	Not reversible	Not reversible
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	Yes	Yes
Can impacts be mitigated?	Not necessary	
Mitigation: Undertake a walkthrough survey of the selected route, once tower and access road positions are known, in order to determine the exact number of individuals of each species that will be affected. Obtain a permit for any protected trees that have to be destroyed or trimmed in order to construct or maintain the power line. If possible, if option 1 is selected, the route of the access road should be re-aligned to avoid major drainage lines in which higher densities of trees occur.		
Cumulative impacts: None		
Residual Impacts: None likely		

*Significance calculated as (magnitude+duration+extent) x probability. Significance: <30 = low, 30–60 = medium, >60 = high.

Impact 4: Loss of habitat for threatened animals

There is a low likelihood of any threatened or near threatened animal species being affected by the proposed project. Birds and other animals that could potentially occur on site are relatively mobile and will move away during construction. The footprint of the access road is small relative to the overall availability of habitat in the general area. The potential impact on them due to a loss of a small area of habitat is therefore not considered to be serious.

Duration: In localised areas, the impact will be permanent due to the fact that clearing of habitat for construction purposes cannot be reversed.

Extent: The impact will occur at the site of the proposed access road. In all cases, the area of concern is likely to be limited in extent and is scored as local.

Magnitude: At a local scale, the potential magnitude of this impact will probably be minor (will have no effect on population processes).

Probability: The probability of the impact occurring is rated as improbable. It is not known whether the species occur on site or not. If they do, they will not be critically dependant on the small area of habitat that will be lost.

Mitigation measures: None required.

Nature: Loss of habitat for threatened animals		
	Without mitigation	**With mitigation**
Extent	Local (1)	Local (1)
Duration	Permanent (5)	Permanent (5)
Magnitude	minor (2)	minor (2)
Probability	Improbable (2)	Improbable (2)
Significance	**Low (16)**	**Low (16)**
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	Reversible to some degree	Reversible to some degree
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	No	No
Can impacts be mitigated?	Not required	
Mitigation: None required		
Cumulative impacts: None		
Residual Impacts: None likely		

*Significance calculated as (magnitude+duration+extent) x probability. Significance: <30 = low, 30–60 = medium, >60 = high.

Impact 5: Damage to wetlands/watercourses

There are a number of watercourses and drainage areas on site, of which some are within the footprint of the proposed solar array. According to the National Water Act, these are classified as wetlands or water resources. Construction will lead to some direct or indirect loss of or damage to these affected areas or changes to the catchment of these areas.

Extent: The impact will occur at the site of the proposed solar array.

Duration: The impact will occur during construction, but will probably result in impacts that have a long-term effect. Within the footprint of the solar array, the impacts will be permanent.

Magnitude: Impacts could result in processes continuing but in a modified way, which is scored as moderate. There is the potential for impacts to result in processes being altered to the extent that they temporarily cease, which is scored as high.

Probability: According to the provided layout, it is definite that the impact will occur.

Mitigation measures:

1. Cross watercourses perpendicularly, where possible, to minimize the construction footprint.
2. Adequate culvert and/or bridge structures are required at crossings.

3. Construction must not cause the width of the watercourse to be narrowed.
4. There is a legal obligation to apply for a Water Use Licence for any wetlands that may be affected, since they are classified in the National Water Act as a water resource.

Nature: Damage to wetland areas resulting in hydrological impacts		
	Without mitigation	**With mitigation**
Extent	local & surroundings (2)	local (1)
Duration	Permanent (5)	Permanent (5)
Magnitude	Option 1: Moderate to high (7) Option 2: Low (4)	Option 1: Moderate (6) Option 2: Low (3)
Probability	Definite (5)	Definite (5)
Significance	**Option 1: high (70)** **Option 2: medium (55)**	**Option 1: medium (60)** **Option 2: medium (45)**
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	negative
Reversibility	Reversible with effective rehabilitation	Reversible
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	Yes	Yes
Can impacts be mitigated?	To some degree	
Mitigation: (1) Cross watercourses perpendicularly, where possible, to minimize the construction footprint. (2) Adequate culvert and/or bridge structures are required at crossings. (3) Construction must not cause the width of the watercourse to be narrowed. (4) There is a legal obligation to apply for a Water Use Licence for any wetlands that may be affected, since they are classified in the National Water Act as a water resource.		
Cumulative impacts: Soil erosion, alien invasions, may all lead to additional impacts on watercourse habitats that will exacerbate this impact.		
Residual Impacts: None.		

*Significance calculated as (magnitude+duration+extent) x probability. Significance: <30 = low, 30–60 = medium, >60 = high.

Impact 6: Establishment and spread of declared weeds and alien invader plants

There are very few concentrations of alien plants on site. The shrub, *Prosopis glandulosa* (honey mesquite), is found in the general area around the site. Construction of the access road will require the total clearing of vegetation within the footprint and this will probably be maintained as clear areas for the lifetime of the project. It is possible that there will be some invasion by aliens along the margins of disturbed areas. This could to lead to general invasion of surrounding vegetation, especially along watercourses.

Extent: The impact will occur at the site of the access road and surrounding areas.

Duration: The impact will be long-term unless alien plants are controlled.

Magnitude: The potential magnitude of this impact is moderate for local ecosystems (will result in ecological processes continuing but in a modified way).

Probability: There is a moderate to high likelihood that alien species will spread on site in the absence of control measures. The probability is therefore scored as highly probable.

Potential significance: The impact could potentially be of medium significance. Standard control measures, if put in place, would adequately control this impact and reduce the significance to low.

Mitigation measures: Disturbance of indigenous vegetation must be kept to a minimum. Where disturbance is unavoidable, disturbed areas should be rehabilitated as quickly as possible once construction is completed. Soil stockpiles should not be translocated from areas with alien plants into the site and within the site alien plants on stockpiles must be controlled so as to avoid the development of a soil seed bank of alien plants within the stock-piled soil. Any alien plants must be immediately controlled. An on-going monitoring programme should be implemented to detect and quantify any aliens that may become established and provide information for the management of aliens.

Nature: Establishment and spread of declared weeds and alien invader plants		
	Without mitigation	**With mitigation**
Extent	Site & surroundings (2)	Site & surroundings (2)
Duration	Long-term (4)	Long-term (4)
Magnitude	medium (6)	minor (2)
Probability	Highly probable (4)	Improbable (2)
Significance	**Medium (48)**	**Low (16)**
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	Reversible	Reversible
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	Yes	No
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes	
Mitigation: (1) Keep disturbance of indigenous vegetation surrounding array to a minimum (2) Rehabilitate disturbed areas as quickly as possible following completion of construction activities in an area (3) Do not translocate soil stockpiles from areas with alien plants (4) Control any alien plants immediately to avoid establishment of a soil seed bank that would take decades to remove (5) Establish an on-going monitoring programme to detect and quantify any aliens that may become established		
Cumulative impacts: Other disturbance to parts of the site could lead to similar impacts.		
Residual Impacts: Will probably be very low if control measures are effectively applied		

*Significance calculated as (magnitude+duration+extent) x probability. Significance: <30 = low, 30–60 = medium, >60 = high.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

There are two major vegetation types that occur in the study area, namely Bushmanland Arid Grassland and Bushmanland Vloere. Both of these vegetation types are classified as Least Threatened and also have a wide distribution and extent. The natural vegetation across most of the site is therefore not considered to have high conservation status.

Local factors that may lead to parts of the study area having elevated ecological sensitivity are the presence of drainage lines / dry river beds on site and the potential presence of various plant and animal species of conservation concern.

Drainage lines (wetlands) represent particularly vital natural corridors as they function both as wildlife habitat, providing resources needed for survival, reproduction and movement, and as biological corridors, providing for movement between habitat patches. Wetlands (including drainage lines) are protected under national legislation (National Water Act). Any impacts on these areas would require a permit from the National Department of Water Affairs.

There are three protected tree species that occur in the area. Approximately 200 individuals of *Boscia albitrunca* occur within the southern part of the site where the solar array is proposed to be located. All of these are likely to be directly lost to construction of the infrastructure.

There are three plant species of conservation concern that have a high likelihood of occurring in available habitats in the study area. This includes one species classified as Vulnerable and two as Declining. Only the Vulnerable species is of concern for this study since the other three are not considered to be threatened. The Vulnerable species is *Aloe dichotoma* var. *dichotoma* (kokerboom).

There are a number of animal species of conservation concern that may occur in habitats within the study area. This includes three mammal species of conservation concern (including three species classified as Near Threatened, the Honey Badger, Littledale's Whistling Rat and Darling's Horseshoe Bat), three threatened bird species (all classified as VU) of which two species may use the site for breeding purposes (Kori Bustard and Ludwig's bustard) and three near threatened bird species that may be found on site (Secretarybird, Lanner Falcon and Sclater's Lark). Habitat requirements for these species are provided in the appendices to this report.

One protected amphibian species, the Giant Bullfrog, has a geographical distribution that includes the site. This species is protected according to the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (Act No 10 of 2004). Under this Act, a permit would be required for any activity which is of a nature that may negatively impact on the survival of a listed protected species. It was evaluated that there was a low probability of this species occurring on site on the basis that no suitable habitat is present on site.

The study area is in a natural condition. A sensitivity analysis identified the drainage lines as the most sensitive feature on site. Lower-lying areas adjacent to the drainage lines were also considered potentially sensitive due to the fact that they are suitable habitat for protected trees. A detailed map of sensitive areas in the northern part of the site is provided in Figure 6.

A risk assessment was undertaken which identified seven main potential negative impacts on the ecological receiving environment. The identified potential impacts are the following:

1. Impacts on indigenous natural vegetation
2. Impacts on threatened plants

3. Impacts on protected tree species
4. Impacts on threatened animals
5. Impacts on wetlands
6. Establishment and spread of declared weeds and alien invader plants

These impacts were assessed for the proposed infrastructure. A summary of the significance of impacts before and after proposed mitigation measures is provided in Table 4 (below). No threatened plant species were found on site or are likely to occur there. This impact was therefore rated as having no significance. The potential impact on animal species of concern was rated as having low significance. Impacts on natural vegetation, protected trees, watercourses and due to uncontrolled spread of alien plants were considered to be the most important negative impacts that would occur if this development proceeded.

The access road option 1 could potentially cause impacts of high significance on watercourses. Mitigation measures could reduce this significance to medium, but it would be preferable to use access road option 2.

Conclusions

The proposed project is unlikely to have unacceptable negative impacts on the ecological receiving environment. Some management and mitigation measures are proposed for reducing some specific impacts. If these measures are applied, there will be no impacts of major concern. Access road option 2 should be used to limit impacts on natural vegetation and on watercourses.

Table 4: Summary of the significance of impacts for different infrastructure components before and after mitigation.

	Solar arrays		Power lines		Access road		
Impact on:	Without mitigation	With mitigation	Without mitigation	With mitigation		Without mitigation	With mitigation
1. vegetation	medium (50)	medium (45)	low (24)	low (20)	Option 1	medium (50)	medium (45)
					Option 2	medium (32)	low (28)
2. threatened plants	zero (0)	zero (0)	zero (0)	zero (0)		zero (0)	zero (0)
3. protected trees	medium (50)	medium (50)	medium (32)	low (21)		medium (50)	medium (45)
4. threatened animals	low (16)	low (16)	low (18)	low (18)		low (16)	low (16)
5. watercourses	medium (60)	medium (50)	low (27)	low (14)	Option 1	high (70)	medium (60)
					Option 2	medium (55)	medium (45)
6. alien plants	medium (48)	low (16)	low (20)	low (8)		medium (48)	low (16)

*Significance: <30 = low, 30–60 = medium, >60 = high.

MANAGEMENT PLAN

Control measures are only proposed for those impacts where mitigation measures are proposed to reduce the significance of impacts, i.e. some impacts are of low significance and thus no mitigation measures are proposed or no mitigation measures are possible or required.

Impacts from alien invasive plants

OBJECTIVE: Control alien invasive plants	
Project component/s	Any infrastructure or activity that will result in disturbance to natural areas
Potential Impact	Invasion of natural vegetation surrounding the site by declared weeds or invasive alien species
Activity/risk source	Construction of power line infrastructure,
Mitigation: Target/Objective	Target: no alien plants within project control area Time period: construction, operation

Mitigation: Action/control	Responsibility	Timeframe
(1) Avoid creating conditions in which alien plants may become established: a. Keep disturbance of indigenous vegetation to a minimum b. Rehabilitate disturbed areas as quickly as possible c. Do not import soil from areas with alien plants (2) Establish an on-going monitoring programme to detect and quantify any alien species that may become established and identify the problem species (as per Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act) (3) Immediately control any alien plants that become established using registered control methods	Construction team, management (environmental officer)	Construction, Operation

Performance Indicator	For each alien species: number of plants and aerial cover of plants within project area and immediate surroundings
Monitoring	• On-going monitoring of area by environmental control officer during construction • On-going monitoring of area by environmental manager during operation • Annual audit of project area and immediate surroundings by qualified botanist. If no species are detected, then this can be stated. If any alien invasive species are detected then the distribution of these should be mapped (GPS co-ordinates of plants or concentrations of plants), number of individuals (whole site or per unit area), age and/or size classes of plants and aerial cover of plants. The results should be interpreted in terms of the risk posed to sensitive habitats within and surrounding the project area. The environmental manager should be responsible for driving this process. Reporting frequency depends on legal compliance framework

Impacts on protected trees

OBJECTIVE: Limit impacts on protected trees	
Project component/s	Any infrastructure that may affect protected trees
Potential Impact	Loss of single individuals or groups of protected trees
Activity/risk source	Construction of power line infrastructure Construction of new access roads
Mitigation: Target/Objective	Target: limit loss of individuals of protected trees Time period: construction

Mitigation: Action/control	Responsibility	Timeframe
(1) Where possible, position power line infrastructure so that large individuals of protected trees are not affected. (2) Use existing access roads as far as possible (3) Undertake a walkthrough survey of the selected power line route, once tower positions are known, in order to determine the exact number of individuals of each species that will be affected. (4) If it is not possible to avoid destroying or damaging trees, a permit is required from Dept. of Forestry for removal of trees or damage to trees. The permit requires the identity, number, size and condition of each tree that will be affected. (5) If large numbers of trees will be affected then additional biodiversity offsets or planting programmes will be required.	Environmental management team, management (environmental officer)	Construction

Performance Indicator	No loss of trees OR obtain removal permit for affected trees Use of existing access roads
Monitoring	• None required

Impacts on watercourses

OBJECTIVE: Limit damage to watercourses	
Project component/s	Any infrastructure or activity that will result in disturbance to wetlands
Potential Impact	Damage to watercourses areas by any means that will result in hydrological changes (includes erosion, siltation, dust, direct removal of soil of vegetation, dumping of material within wetlands). The focus should be on the functioning of the watercourse as a natural system
Activity/risk source	Construction, operation
Mitigation: Target/Objective	Target: no damage to watercourses within project area Time period: construction, operation

Mitigation: Action/control	Responsibility	Timeframe
(1) Ensure that powerline tower structures are placed outside watercourses (a minimum of 50 m away) (2) Ground surfaces within the solar array must be properly maintained to avoid erosion impacts. (3) A comprehensive storm-water management plan must be compiled for the solar array. This must indicate how water velocities will be reduced before storm water is allowed to enter natural channels and how natural processes for water infiltration of the affected landscape will be accommodated. (4) If possible, the access road should be re-aligned to avoid major drainage lines. (5) Cross watercourses perpendicularly, where possible, to minimize the construction footprint. (6) Adequate culvert and/or bridge structures are required at crossings. (7) Construction must not cause the width of the watercourse to be narrowed. (8) There is a legal obligation to apply for a Water Use Licence for any wetlands that may be affected, since they are classified in the National Water Act as a water resource.	Construction team, management, environmental control officer	Planning, construction

Performance Indicator	No permanent infrastructure within watercourses
Monitoring	• None

Impacts on indigenous natural vegetation

OBJECTIVE: Control loss of/disruption to indigenous vegetation	
Project component/s	Any infrastructure or activity that will result in disturbance to natural areas
Potential Impact	Loss of indigenous natural vegetation due to construction activities
Activity/risk source	Construction of power line infrastructure Construction of new access roads
Mitigation: Target/Objective	Target: minimal loss of natural vegetation Time period: construction

Mitigation: Action/control	Responsibility	Timeframe
(1) The construction impacts must be contained to the footprint of the infrastructure and/or the servitude of the power line. (2) Limit unnecessary impacts on surrounding natural vegetation, e.g. driving around in the veld, use access roads only (3) Before construction, demarcate servitude and ensure that construction impacts are contained within this area. (4) Use existing access roads as far as possible (5) Locate construction camps outside of sensitive areas	Construction team, management (environmental officer)	Construction

Performance Indicator	Minimum loss of natural vegetation outside of the exact footprint of the proposed project
Monitoring	• Ongoing monitoring of area by environmental control officer during construction

REFERENCES:

ACOCKS, J.P.H. 1988. Veld types of South Africa (3rd edn.). *Mem. Bot. Surv. S. Afr.* No 28. Government printer, Pretoria.

BARNES, K.N. (ed.) (2000) The Eskom Red Data Book of Birds of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Birdlife South Africa, Johannesburg.

BRANCH, W.R. (1988) South African Red Data Book—Reptiles and Amphibians. South African National Scientific Programmes Report No. 151.

DENT, M.C., LYNCH, S.D. & SCHULZE, R.E. 1989. Mapping mean annual and other rainfall statistics in southern Africa. Department of Agricultural Engineering, University of Natal. ACRU Report No. 27. Massachusetts: Clark University.

DRIVER, A., MAZE, K., ROUGET, M., LOMBARD, A.T., NEL, J., TURPIE, J.K., COWLING, R.M., DESMET, P., GOODMAN, P., HARRIS, J., JONAS, Z., REYERS, B., SINK, K and STRAUSS, T. 2005. National Spatial Biodiversity Assessment 2004: priorities for biodiversity conservation in South Africa. Strelitzia 17. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

DU PREEZ, L. & CARRUTHERS, V. 2009. A complete guide to the frogs of southern Africa. Random House Struik, Cape Town.

FAIRBANKS, D.H.K., THOMPSON, M.W., VINK, D.E., NEWBY, T.S., VAN DEN BERG, H.M & EVERARD, D.A. 2000. The South African Land-Cover Characteristics Database: a synopsis of the landscape. *S.Afr.J.Science* 96: 69-82.

FRIEDMANN, Y. & DALY, B. (eds.) 2004. The Red Data Book of the Mammals of South Africa: A Conservation Assessment: CBSG Southern Africa, Conservation Breeding Specialist Group (SSC/IUCN), Endangered Wildlife Trust, South Africa.

GERMISHUIZEN, G. & MEYER, N.L. (eds) 2003. Plants of southern Africa: an annotated checklist. *Strelitzia* 14. National Botanical Institute, Pretoria.

GERMISHUIZEN, G., MEYER, N.L., STEENKAMP, Y and KEITH, M. (eds.) (2006). A checklist of South African plants. Southern African Botanical Diversity Network Report No. 41, SABONET, Pretoria.

GROOMBRIDGE, B. (ed.) 1994. *1994 IUCN Red List of Threatened Animals*. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland.

HARTMANN, M.O. 1988. The soils of the Eastern Cape. In: M.N. Bruton & F.W. Gess. (ed.) Towards an environmental plan for the Eastern Cape. Rhodes University, Grahamstown.

HENNING, S.F. & HENNING, G.A. 1989. South African Red Data Book - Butterflies. *South African National Scientific Programmes* No. 158, Foundation for Research Development, CSIR, Pretoria.

IUCN (2001). *IUCN Red Data List categories and criteria: Version 3.1*. IUCN Species Survival Commission: Gland, Switzerland.

KOPKE, D. 1988. The climate of the Eastern Cape. In: M.N. Bruton & F.W. Gess. (ed.) *Towards an environmental plan for the Eastern Cape.* Rhodes University, Grahamstown.

LOW, A.B. & REBELO, A.G. (1998) Vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism, Pretoria.

MACVICAR, C. N., SCOTNEY, D. M. SKINNER, T. E. NIEHAUS, H. S. & LOUBSER, J. H., 1974. A classification of land (climate, terrain form, soil) primarily for rainfed agriculture. S. Afr. J. Agric. Extension, 3(3): 1-4.

MILLS, G. & HES, L. 1997. The complete book of southern African mammals. Struik Publishers, Cape Town.

MINTER, L.R., BURGER, M., HARRISON, J.A., BRAACK, H.H., BISHOP, P.J. and KLOEPFER, D. (eds.) 2004. Atlas and Red Data Bookof the Frogs of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. SI/MAB Series #9. Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC.

MUCINA, L, BREDENKAMP, G.J., **HOARE, D.B** & MCDONALD, D.J. 2000. A National Vegetation Database for South Africa *South African Journal of Science* 96: 1–2.

MUCINA, L. AND RUTHERFORD, M.C. (editors) (2006). Vegetation map of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland: an illustrated guide. *Strelitzia* 19, National Botanical Institute, Pretoria.

MUCINA, L. AND RUTHERFORD, M.C. (editors) 2006. Vegetation map of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland: an illustrated guide. *Strelitzia* 19, South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

MUCINA, L., RUTHERFORD, M.C. AND POWRIE, I.W. (editors) 2005. Vegetation map of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland, 1:1 000 000 SCALE SHEET MAPS South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

MUCINA, L., RUTHERFORD, M.C., **HOARE, D.B**. & POWRIE, L.W. 2003. VegMap: The new vegetation map of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. In: Pedrotti, F. (ed.) Abstracts: Water Resources and Vegetation, 46th Symposium of the International Association for Vegetation Science, June 8 to 14 – Napoli, Italy.

MUCINA, L., RUTHERFORD, M.C., PALMER, A.R., MILTON, S.J., SCOTT, L., VAN DER MERWE, B., **HOARE, D.B.**, BEZUIDENHOUT, H., VLOK, J.H.J., EUSTON-BROWN, D.I.W., POWRIE, L.W. & DOLD, A.P. 2006. *Nama-Karoo Biome.* In: Mucina, L. & Rutherford, M.C. (eds.) The vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. *Strelitzia* 19. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

MUELLER-DOMBOIS, D. AND ELLENBERG, H. 1974. Aims and methods of vegetation ecology. Wiley, New York.

PALMER, A.R. 1991. A syntaxonomic and synecological account of the vegetation of the eastern Cape midlands. *S.Afr.J.Bot.* 57: 76-94.

PASSMORE, N.I. & CARRUTHERS, V.C. (1995) South African Frogs; a complete guide. Southern Book Publishers and Witwatersrand University Press. Johannesburg.

RUTHERFORD, M.C. & WESTFALL, R.H. (1994). Biomes of southern Africa: an objective categorization. *Memoirs of the Botanical Survey of South Africa* No. 63.

SCHULZE, B.R. 1984. Climate of South Africa, Part 8, General Survey, WB 28. *South African Weather Bureau* 60. Government Printer, Pretoria.

VAN WYK, A.E. & SMITH, G.F. 2001. Regions of floristic endemism in southern Africa. Umdaus press, Hatfield.

WEATHER BUREAU 1996. *Climate data for stations from the Eastern Cape*.

WHITE, F. 1983. The vegetation of Africa: a descriptive memoir to accompany the UNESCO/AETFAT/UNISO vegetation map of Africa. Natural Resources Research 20. Unesco, Paris.

APPENDICES:

Appendix 1: Plant species of conservation importance (Threatened, Near Threatened and Declining) that have historically been recorded in the study area.

Sources: South African National Biodiversity Institute in Pretoria.

Family	Taxon	Status	Habitat	Likelihood of occurrence on site
FABACEAE	Acacia erioloba	Declining	Savanna, semi-desert and desert areas, deep sandy soils and along drainage lines in very arid areas, sometimes in rocky outcrops.	HIGH
ASPHODALACEAE	Aloe dichotoma var. dichotoma	VU	North-facing rocky slopes (particularly dolomite) in the south of its range.	HIGH
FABACEAE	Caesalpinia bracteata	VU	This species is only known from below the Augrabies Falls near the Orange River and Klein Pella on granite. Blouputs Karroid Thornveld.	LOW
AMARYLLIDACEAE	Crinum bulbispermum	Declining	Scattered from the Northern Cape on the banks of the Orange River eastwards through the Free State, Lesotho to Mpumalanga and KwaZulu-Natal. Recorded in the drainage basins of the Orange and Vaal Rivers practically throughout their lengths, and also in the catchment areas of the Pongola and the Tugela Rivers. Near rivers, streams, seasonal pans and in damp depressions.	LOW
MESEMBRYANTHEMACEAE	Dinteranthus wilmotianus	NT	Orange river basin, from Augrabies to Eendoorn area near Warmbad in southern Namibia. Alluvial gravel soils.	LOW
APOCYNACEAE	Hoodia gordonii	Declining	Wide variety of arid habitats	HIGH

* Conservation Status Category assessment according to IUCN Ver. 3.1 (IUCN, 2001), as evaluated by the Threatened Species Programme of the South African National Biodiversity Institute in Pretoria. *IUCN (3.1) Categories: VU = Vulnerable, EN = Endangered, CR = Critically Endangered, NT = Near Threatened.

Appendix 2: Threatened vertebrate species with a geographical distribution that includes the current study area.

MAMMALS

Common name	Taxon	Habitat[1]	National status	Global status[2]	Likelihood of occurrence
Black rhinoceros	*Diceros bicornis bicornis*	Wide variety of habitats, but currently only occurs in game reserves.	CR	CR	**NONE**, only occurs in game reserves
Honey badger	*Mellivora capensis*	Wide variety of habitats. Probably only in natural habitats.	NT	LC	**HIGH**, overall geographical distribution includes this area, habitat is suitable.
Darling's horseshoe bat	*Rhinolophus darlingii*	Savanna, roosting in caves, sub-terranean habitats, mine adits, culverts and in cavities in piles of boulders.	NT	LC	**MEDIUM**, recorded in nearby grids, within distribution range; suitable habitat may occur on site.
Dent's horseshoe bat	*Rhinolophus denti*	Savanna, nama-Karoo, succulent Karoo, distribution follows rivers. Caves and subterranean habitats. Aerial insectivore.	NT	LC	**LOW**, on edge of distribution; suitable habitat may occur on site or may be vagrant from Orange River valley.
Littledale's whistling rat	*Parotomys littledalei*	Desert, Karoo. Sandy or gravel open plains. Tends to excavate burrow beneath a shrub, but will also contruct stick nest at the base of a shrub. Herbivorous, favouring leaves of Zygophullum and Mesembryanthemaceae.	NT	LC	**HIGH**, site is in core of distribution range. Habitat suitable on site.

[1]Distribution and national status according to Friedmann & Daly 2004.
[2]Global status according to IUCN 2010. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2010.3. (www.iucnredlist.org). Downloaded on 28 October 2010.

AMPHIBIANS

Common name	Species	Habitat	Status	Likelihood of occurrence
Giant Bullfrog	*Pyxicephalus adspersus*	Widely distributed in southern Africa, mainly at higher elevations. Inhabits a variety of vegetation types where it breeds in seasonal, shallow, grassy pans in flat, open areas; also utilises non-permanent vleis and shallow water on margins of waterholes and dams. Prefer sandy substrates although they sometimes inhabit clay soils.	NT[1] LC[2] Protected (NEMBA)	**MEDIUM**, within known distribution range and suitable habitat may occur on site.

[1]Status according to Minter et al. 2004.
[2]Status according to IUCN 2010. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2010.3. (www.iucnredlist.org). Downloaded on 11 September 2010.

REPTILES

Common name	Species	Habitat	Status[3]	Likelihood of occurrence
None				

[3]Distribution according to Branch 1988.
[4]Status according to Groombridge 1994.

BIRDS

Common name	Species	Habitat	Status	Importance of site for species
Tawny Eagle	*Aquila rapax*	Woodland and savanna to semi-arid savanna or grassland with scattered Acacia trees. Site on edge of range. Borderline uncommon resident in study area.	VU[1] NT[2] Protected (NEMBA)	LOW, breeding, LOW, foraging
Black Stork	*Ciconia nigra*	Feeds in or around marshes, dams, rivers and estuaries; breeds in mountainous regions. Uncommon	NT	LOW, breeding,

Common name	Species	Habitat	Status	Importance of site for species
		resident in study area.		LOW, foraging
Marabou Stork	*Leptoptilos crumeniferus*	Open to semi-arid woodland, bushveld, fishing villages, rubbish tips, lake shores. Uncommon resident in study area.	NT	LOW, breeding, LOW, foraging
Martial Eagle	*Polemaetus bellicosus*	The Martial Eagle is widespread but uncommon throughout South Africa and neighbouring countries. It tolerates a wide range of vegetation types, being found in open grassland, scrub, Karoo and woodland. It relies on large trees (and electricity pylons) to provide nest sites. It is found typically in flat country and is rarer in mountains and forests. One of the main reason it is declining is because of persecution on private land. This species has been recorded from the study area and many surrounding areas. Common resident in study area.	VU[1] NT[2] Protected (NEMBA)	LOW, breeding, MEDIUM, foraging
Kori Bustard	*Ardeotis kori*	Semi-arid regions, within the 100 - 600 mm rainfall isohyet. Also occurs throughout dryer west, particularly in the Nama-Karoo. Diet consists of insects, reptiles, rodents and vegetable matter. Breeding peaks from October to January. In the semi-arid western parts of South Africa, favours tree-lined watercourses. Common to very common resident in study area.	VU[1] LC[2] Protected (NEMBA)	MEDIUM, breeding, HIGH, foraging
Ludwig's Bustard	*Neotis ludwigii*	This is a near-endemic to southern Africa, with its range centred on the Nama Karoo and Succulent Karoo biomes. It occurs in western grasslands of the Eastern Cape, but supposedly as a nonbreeding visitor. The most important threat to this species is collisions with overhead powerlines and telephone wires. It inhabits the open plains of the semi-arid Karoo and especially in areas where extensive sheep farming is prevalent. Uncommon to common resident in study area.	VU[1] EN[2] Protected (NEMBA)	MEDIUM, breeding, HIGH, foraging
Secretarybird	*Sagittarius serpentarius*	Widespread across South Africa, occurring in savanna and open grassland from coastal regions to high altitudes, but avoids thick bush and forest. Sensitive to disturbance and high human population numbers - higher numbers usually found in conservation areas. Uncommon resident in study area.	NT	MEDIUM, breeding, MEDIUM, foraging
Lanner Falcon	*Falco biarmicus*	Widespread species, occurring in Afrotropics, Middle East and western Palearctic. Common resident in study area.	NT	LOW, breeding, MEDIUM, foraging
Sclater's Lark	*Spizocorys sclateri*	Endemic to South Africa and southern Namibia. Confined to Nama Karoo, concentrated in the Northern Cape. Uncommon resident in study area.	NT	MEDIUM, breeding, HIGH, foraging

[1]Status according to Barnes 2000.
[2]Status according to IUCN 2010. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2010.3. (www.iucnredlist.org). Downloaded on 11 September 2010.

Appendix 3: List of protected tree species (National Forests Act).

Acacia erioloba	*Acacia haematoxylon*
Adansonia digitata	*Afzelia quanzensis*
Balanites subsp. *maughamii*	*Barringtonia racemosa*
Boscia albitrunca	*Brachystegia spiciformis*
Breonadia salicina	*Bruguiera gymnhorrhiza*
Cassipourea swaziensis	*Catha edulis*
Ceriops tagal	*Cleistanthus schlectheri* var. *schlechteri*
Colubrina nicholsonii	*Combretum imberbe*
Curtisia dentata	*Elaedendron (Cassine) transvaalensis*
Erythrophysa transvaalensis	*Euclea pseudebenus*
Ficus trichopoda	*Leucadendron argenteum*
Lumnitzera racemosa var. *racemosa*	*Lydenburgia abottii*
Lydenburgia cassinoides	*Mimusops caffra*
Newtonia hildebrandtii var. *hildebrandtii*	*Ocotea bullata*
Ozoroa namaensis	*Philenoptera violacea* (*Lonchocarpus capassa*)
Pittosporum viridiflorum	*Podocarpus elongatus*
Podocarpus falcatus	*Podocarpus henkelii*
Podocarpus latifolius	*Protea comptonii*
Protea curvata	*Prunus africana*
Pterocarpus angolensis	*Rhizophora mucronata*
Sclerocarya birrea subsp. *caffra*	*Securidaca longependunculata*
Sideroxylon inerme subsp. *inerme*	*Tephrosia pondoensis*
Warburgia salutaris	*Widdringtonia cedarbergensis*
Widdringtonia schwarzii	

Acacia erioloba, Acacia haematoxylon, Boscia albitrunca have a geographical distribution that coincides with the study area.

Appendix 4: Checklist of plant species recorded during previous botanical surveys in the study area and surrounds.

*Boerhavia cordobensis
*Coronopus integrifolius
*Prosopis glandulosa var. glandulosa
*Prosopis glandulosa var. torreyana
*Prosopis velutina
*Salsola kali
*Trigonella hamosa
Adenium oleifolium
Aizoon burchellii
Amaranthus dinteri subsp. dinteri
Anthephora pubescens
Aptosimum albomarginatum
Aptosimum indivisum
Aptosimum spinescens
Arctotis leiocarpa
Arctotis venusta
Aristida adscensionis
Aristida congesta subsp. congesta
Aristida engleri
Avonia albissima
Barleria lichtensteiniana
Barleria rigida Nees
Bergia polyantha
Berkheya spinosissima subsp. spinosissima
Blepharis mitrata
Calobota linearifolia
Calobota spinescens
Cenchrus ciliaris
Chascanum pinnatifidum var. pinnatifidum
Cheilanthes deltoidea
Chloris virgata
Cleome angustifolia subsp. diandra
Cleome gynandra
Cleome kalachariensis
Combretum erythrophyllum
Corchorus asplenifolius
Corallocarpus schinzii
Cucumis africanus
Cyperus indecorus var. namaquensis
Dianthus micropetalus
Digitaria polyphylla
Dimorphotheca polyptera
Enneapogon cenchroides
Enneapogon desvauxii
Enneapogon scaber
Eragrostis annulata
Eragrostis brizantha
Eragrostis curvula
Eragrostis echinochloidea
Eragrostis homomalla
Eragrostis lehmanniana var. lehmanniana
Eragrostis nindensis
Eragrostis porosa
Eragrostis x pseud-obtusa

Eragrostis truncata
Euphorbia rudis
Euphorbia spinea
Felicia hirsuta
Ferraria ferrariola
Fingerhuthia africana
Geigeria ornativa subsp. ornativa
Geigeria pectidea
Gisekia africana var. africana
Gisekia pharnacioides var. pharnacioides
Gomphocarpus tomentosus subsp. tomentosus
Grielum sinuatum
Haworthia venosa subsp. tessellata
Heliophila remotiflora
Heliophila trifurca
Heliotropium ciliatum
Hermannia abrotanoides
Hermannia spinosa
Hermbstaedtia fleckii
Heteropogon contortus
Hirpicium echinus
Hyobanche sanguinea
Hypertelis salsoloides var. salsoloides
Indigofera alternans var. alternans
Indigofera charlieriana var. charlieriana
Indigofera heterotricha
Jamesbrittenia atropurpurea subsp. atropurpurea
Jamesbrittenia canescens var. canescens
Jamesbrittenia integerrima
Justicia puberula
Kohautia caespitosa subsp. brachyloba
Kohautia cynanchica
Lessertia pauciflora var. pauciflora
Leucosphaera bainesii
Limeum aethiopicum var. lanceolatum
Limeum argute-carinatum var. argute-carinatum
Limeum fenestratum var. fenestratum
Limeum myosotis var. myosotis
Lophiocarpus polystachyus
Lophiocarpus tenuissimus
Lotononis falcata
Lotononis platycarpa
Lycium cinereum
Manulea gariepina
Manulea schaeferi
Mestoklema arboriforme
Mestoklema copiosum
Michrochloa caffra
Mollugo cerviana var. cerviana
Monechma distichotrichum
Monechma divaricatum
Monechma incanum
Monechma genistifolium subsp. australe
Monechma spartioides
Monsonia glauca
Nerine laticoma
Nymania capensis

Ocimum americana var. americana
Oropetium capense
Panicum lanipes
Panicum maximum
Peliostomum leucorrhizum
Pentzia calcarea
Pentzia pinnatisecta
Phaeoptilum spinosum
Phyllanthus maderaspatensis
Plagiochasme rupestre var. rupestre
Polygala leptophylla var. leptophylla
Pomaria lactea
Portulaca kermesina
Prenia tetragona
Psilocaulon articulatum
Psilocaulon coriarium
Ptycholobium biflorum subsp. biflorum
Riccia cavernosa
Rogeria longiflora
Schmidtia kalahariensis
Selago divaricata
Senecio consanguineus
Senecio niveus
Senecio sisymbriifolius
Senecio trachylaenus
Senna italica subsp. arachoides
Septulina glauca
Sericocoma avolans
Sericocoma heterochiton
Sesamum capense
Setaria verticellata
Solanum namaquense
Sporobolus nervosus
Stipagrostis anomala
Stipagrostis ciliata var. capensis
Stipagrostis obtusa
Stipagrostis uniplumis var. uniplumis
Sutherlandia frutescens
Tapinanthus oleifolius
Tephrosia dregeana var. dregeana
Tragus berteronianus Schult.
Tragus racemosus
Tribulus cristatus
Tribulus terrestris
Tribulus zeyheri subsp. zeyheri
Tulbaghia tenuior
Vahlia capensis subsp. vulgaris var. vulgaris
Zygophyllum dregeanum
Zygophyllum lichtensteinianum
Zygophyllum simplex

Appendix 5: Species protected under the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act 10 of 2004)
(as updated in R. 1187, 14 December 2007)

CRITICALLY ENDANGERED SPECIES
Reptilia
Loggerhead sea turtle
Leatherback sea turtle
Hawksbill sea turtle

Aves
Wattled crane
Blue swallow
Egyptian vulture
Cape parrot

Mammalia
Riverine rabbit
Rough-haired golden mole

Flora
Adenium swazicum
Aloe pillansii
Diaphananthe millarii
Dioscorea ebutsniorum
Encephalartos aemulans
Encephalartos brevifoliolatus
Encephalartos cerinus
Encephalartos dolomiticus
Encephalartos heenanii
Encephalartos hirsutus
Encephalartos inopinus
Encephalartos latifrons
Encephalartos middelburgensis
Encephalartos nubimontanus
Encephalartos woodii

ENDANGERED SPECIES
Reptilia
Green turtle
Giant girdled lizard
Olive ridley turtle
Geometric tortoise

Aves
Blue crane
Grey crowned crane
Saddle-billed stork
Bearded vulture
White-backed vulture
Cape vulture
Hooded vulture

Pink-backed pelican
Pel's fishing owl
Lappet-faced vulture

Mammalia
Robust golden mole
Tsessebe
Black rhinoceros
Mountain zebra
African wild dog
Gunning's golden mole
Oribi
Red squirrel
Four-toed elephant-shrew

Flora
Angraecum africae
Encephalartos arenarius
Encephalartos cupidus
Encephalartos horridus
Encephalartos laevifolius
Encephalartos lebomboensis
Encephalartos msinganus
Jubaeopsis caffra
Siphonochilus aethiopicus
Warburgia salutaris
Newtonia hilderbrandi

VULNERABLE SPECIES
Aves
White-headed vulture
Tawny eagle
Kori bustard
Black stork
Southern banded snake eagle
Blue korhaan
Taita falcon
Lesser kestrel
Peregrine falcon
Bald ibis
Ludwig's bustard
Martial eagle
Bataleur
Grass owl

Mammalia
Cheetah
Samango monkey
Giant golden mole
Giant rat
Bontebok
Tree hyrax

Roan antelope
Pangolin
Juliana's golden mole
Suni
Large-eared free-tailed bat
Lion
Leopard
Blue duiker

Flora
Aloe albida
Encephalartos cycadifolius
Encephalartos Eugene-maraisii
Encephalartos ngovanus
Merwilla plumbea
Zantedeschia jucunda

PROTECTED SPECIES
Amphibia
Giant bullfrog
African bullfrog

Reptilia
Gaboon adder
Namaqua dwarf adder
Smith's dwarf chameleon
Armidillo girdled lizard
Nile crocodile
African rock python

Aves
Southern ground hornbill
African marsh harrier
Denham's bustard
Jackass penguin

Mammalia
Cape clawless otter
South African hedgehog
White rhinoceros
Black wildebeest
Spotted hyaena
Black-footed cat
Brown hyaena
Serval
African elephant
Spotted-necked otter
Honey badger
Sharpe's grysbok
Reedbuck
Cape fox

Flora
Adenia wilmsii
Aloe simii
Clivia mirabilis
Disa macrostachya
Disa nubigena
Disa physodes
Disa procera
Disa sabulosa
Encephelartos altensteinii
Encephelartos caffer
Encephelartos dyerianus
Encephelartos frederici-guilielmi
Encephelartos ghellinckii
Encephelartos humilis
Encephelartos lanatus
Encephelartos lehmannii
Encephelartos longifolius
Encephelartos natalensis
Encephelartos paucidentatus
Encephelartos princeps
Encephelartos senticosus
Encephelartos transvenosus
Encephelartos trispinosus
Encephelartos umbeluziensis
Encephelartos villosus
Euphorbia clivicola
Euphorbia meloformis
Euphorbia obesa
Harpagophytum procumbens
Harpagophytum zeyherii
Hoodia gordonii
Hoodia currorii
Protea odorata
Stangeria eriopus